MR. TAGGART'S

ADDRESS TO HIS CONSTITUENTS,

ON THE SUBJECT OF IMPRESSMENTS.

To the Citizens of Massachusetts. particularly of the district which I have the honor to represent.

FELLOW CITIZENS-

IN a situation of the country different from the pres sent, I should not have given either you or myself the trouble of this address. But in the present season of peril, involved as we are in a war which, how far it may prove ruinous to our best interests as a nation it is impossible, at this time. to foresee, standing as I do in that public station in which your partiality has repeatedly placed me, I thought it a duty to present you with a few observations on the state of the na-It is well known that I have uniformly opposed the tion. present war, as well as all those previous steps which have led to it. Whatever was either the nature or extent of the lajuries we had received from the different belligerents. I viewed them as growing out of the present war in Europe : a war probably both in its extent and in the great events and important consequences of which it has been productive, unparalleled in modern times, and that these injuries would cease of course, on the return of peace. Our neutral situation gave us many advantages, and, although we suffered some partial inconveniences from the mutual encroachments of the different belligerents. I considered it much better to bear with those partial and temporary evils, than to rush into a conflict of which we could calculate neither the extent. the consequences to our independence and liberties, nor the duration. My present intention is not to enter on an investigation of, either the justice or policy of the present war, or to indulge in conjectures about its probable issue. Some of my ideas on this subject, submitted at the close of the last session, are now before the public. How far these conjectures have been verified by facts, is obvious to those who have paid particular attention to the passing events of the last campaign. My observations will be confined to one single point, viz. the impressment of seamen, every other matter in dispute between the two nations being, in the view of the. President, so far removed out of the way as to oppose no in-

surmountable obstacle to an amicable adjustment : as appears from the message and documents communicated to congress at the commencement of the session. It is not my intention to vindicate the British practice of impressing seamen. If that can be done, it is the business of those who practice it. I mean to speak of the practice as it is, at least so far as the United States are affected by it, nought to extenuate, and nought to set down in malice. It is a vulgar error industriously circulated by some, that Great Britain claims the right of impressing American citizens. She claims no such right, and has invariably professed a willingness to release such of that character as may have been impressed, on exhibiting proof of their citizenship. She claims the right of demanding and impressing her own seamen, when found on board of neutral merchant ships. The controversy on this subject between the two governments, seems therefore to be circumscribed within very narrow limits. The United States say they don't want to protect or claim British seamen in their service. Great Britain says she wants no seamen from us only those who are her own subjects. One would natufally suppose that no adequate cause of war, or any insuperable obstacle to an amicable settlement of difficultics, could possibly arise on such a nice point as this. There may be still some grounds of dispute on the question who are to be considered as American citizens. Neither Great Britain or any other European nation, I believe allows the right of ex-Regulations on this subject, particularly with patriation. regard to seafaring people, are particularly striet. In France under the former dynasty, the seaman who, even in a time of peace, should abandon the service of his country without have, and engage in the employment of a foreign merchant, was liable not only to be reclaimed by force, but also subjected to punishment, and I know not that these regulations are altered, or that she pays any regard to an act of naturalization by a foreign government. In the United States all foreigners are considered as citizens who have complied with the provisions of our naturalization law. As it respects seamen, however, this can be an object of very little consequence. A foreigner coming into this country in the character of a seaman, and continuing to follow the seas as his constant or usual employment, cannot become a citizen according to the true intent and meaning of the present naturalization law of the United States, which requires five years residence in the country previous to his becoming naturalized. There is only one class to which this can apply, and if there are any of this description, I believe their number to be exfremely small, I mean such as have resided in the country a

ť

ĸ

ħ.

i,

ċ

h

i

ŋ

P₄

3

h.

ie

Ņ

b

etake themselves to the sea for an employment.

It is therefore a mistaken idea that this practice is either novel or peculiar to Great Britain. The regulations of France, we have seen to be peculiarly severe on the subject, as appears from several edicts issued from time to time. from the year 1650, if not from periods still more early, down to the era of the republic. The same practice has been recognised by standing regulations of Great Britain, at least as far back as the year 1640. Authorities to that effect have been recently laid before the public, a citation of which would protract these remarks beyond their intended limits. During the present war in Europe, this practice has been probably more common than in any former period. The reason, without doubt, is that during this period, a greater number of British seamen have found means to shelter themselves under a neutral flag. This was the first time in which it affected the United States as an independent nation. That they have been more affected by it than any other mation has arisen from their speaking the same language, and from such a similarity of manners and customs, more striking perhaps among seafaring people, than among those of any other description as renders it almost impossible to discriminate. Had either France or Spain been the neutral which was carrying on a lucrative commerce, the English sailor could not have taken refuge on board their ships, without being liable to almost instant detection. But on board of an American ship it is almost impossible to distinguish him from one of our native seamen. It is natural for a seaman to prefer peace to war, the quiet pursuits of commerce on board of a neutral, to the dangers incident to the service on board a ship of the line or A frigate. This preference given to the service, connected with that flourishing state of the American commerce, which enabled the merchant to give such high wages to seamen, held out such powerful inducements to British sailors, as prevailed upon vast numbers to abandon their country and to seek employment on board of American ships. For several years previous to our adoption of the restrictive system, which gave a check to commercial enterprise, the number of foreign seamen, principally British, who have been in the American employ, have been computed to average not less than 20,000. - It cannot be denied that the withdrawing of so large a num-. ber of a class of people, necessary in the present situation of the country to her very existence as an independent nation, was such a serious injury to Great Britain as, if continued, must greatly diminish her power, lessen her security, and even put her safety at hazard; an evil which prebably there is no

nation in the world which, situated as she is, would not take some measures to prevent. If therefore the question should" be asked why she resorted to the practice of impressing seamen from on board our ships, the answer is a plain one. It is because thousands of her seafaring subjects are on board of our vessels. to her manifest injury and damage, sheltered under American protections, and, for that reason, claimed as American citizens; and there is no arrangement whereby she can reclaim them in any other way. I do not mention this to justify the practice of impressment, but to show what that practice is, and what has given rise to it It is impracticable from any documents within my reach, to ascertain the number of seamen who have been actually impressed from on board of American ships, since the commencement of the present war in Europe, and, could that difficulty be removed, a still greater would arise, in determining what proportion of these are bona fide Americans. Various circumstances bowever, have induced me to believe that the number, particularly of real Americans, has been by far overrated. As a goperal rule the apparent magnitude of objects increases as you approach them, and diminishes in proportion as the distance from them is increased. The subject of impressment has a different effect upon the optics. Viewed at a distance it annears to be an object of vast magnitude but diminishes in . prepartion as you approach it, A natural and obvious enquiry is to what parts or sections of the United States do these multitudes of seamen belong? Where are their friends, connections and families, from which they have been torn, and for which so much sympathy has been expressed? We cannot expect to find them in Kentucky, Tennessee, Ohio, or any where west of the Allegany. We will naturally expect to find them in the seaports, in places where there is the most commerce and navigation. But do we find those numerous distressed families and connections there? Enquire of the best informed mon in those places, where you would naturally expeet to hear the greatest complaints, and you can hardly ob-" tain information of half a dozen, where the proportion of the estimated number would be at least 1000. This circumstance alone, affords strong presumptive evidence at least, if not ' conclusive proof, that a great majority of these impressed scamen are foreigners, who, when they arrive in the country excite little interest, and when they are withdrawn, either by impressment or otherwise, leave no vacuum. One of the first things to be expected in an application for the discharge of a roal American seaman, would be a statement, authentisated by proper testimony, of the part of the country to which he belonged, particularly the place of his birth, where he was

best known, and where his connections, if he had any, resided, Such a statement, properly authenticated, especially when found to coincide with the narrative of the party himself, who claimed the release, would be one of the strongest evidences of his being an American. There are few if any instances, of applications made in this way, which have proved unsuecessful. How far this has been attended to in such applications will be seen as we proceed in the examination of the de-The evidence principally relied on as proof, that cuments. a seaman is an American, is a protection, a document granted in the first instance by a collector of one of the ports, a notary public, or a consul, or vice consul in a foreign port. The loose manner in which these protections are, in the first instance granted, the extensive forgeries of these papers, which is known to be practised, and is neither restrained or punished by any law, and the common practice of buying, selling, and bartering them among sailors, has rendered them documents on which very little reliance is to be placed. A man or it may be a dozen men at a time, apply to a collectors office for protections, as American sailors, professing themselves to be citizens of the United States. Another man equally unknown comes forward and swears to the whole dozen, or whatever is the number, it may be, in the expectation of a similar return, while, as is probably the case at times, both applicants, and witnesser, have, within a few short months, it may be weeks or even days, landed for the first time on our sbores. The forms of law being in this way complied with, aprotection is granted as a matter of course. Where is the court of law which would award a plantiff a single dollar on ' no better evidence than this? Several perjuries of this kind have been detected, and there exists but little doubt but ma-1 by more have been committed. It is to be lamented that such is the state of morals in our own as well as other counter tries, that oaths, particularly of a certain kind, are but little regarded. The smuggler who would neither swear away the life nor property of another in a court of justice, will, many. times, hestitate but little, to defraud the revenue, by swear. ing falsely in a custom house. It is I believe an incontestible fact, that the oaths prescribed by law for the purpose of obtaining protections, are frequently but little regarded among sailors. When perjuries of this kind are detected there exists no law either of the United States or of the individual states to punish them. This has been attempted in some of the states without effect. When therefore these protections are relied on as the principal evidence of citizenship, and when it is a matter of public notoriety that European sailors are furnished with these documents, indiscriminately with

our nativo seamen, and the protections themselves are fre. quently mere matters of bargain and sale among sailors, it. affords very strong presumptive evidence at least, that a great proportion of these impressed seamen, although furnished with American protections, are in reality foreigners; at least it is not strange that little credit should be attached to them by the British government. And as neither a boarding officer from a ship of war, or a press gang are very nice in making distinctions, it is not strange if an American should be sometimes impressed, instead of an European. But it is time to proceed to an examination of the documents. I am sensible that the subject is a dry one; it is only the importance of the facts that will afford any adequate compensation for the perusal of the details. But as they are particularly interest. ing at this time, on account of the present situation of the country, I hope this will be a sufficient inducement to give them a candid and impartial examination, although but little pleasure should be derived from the perusal.

I have not deemed it necessary to be very particular in the examination of any documents on the subject, of an older date than that communicated January 19, 1805. Indeed I have been able to lay my hands on but one of an older date. and that is a report from the department of state, communicated March 11, 1798, which I have given somewhat of an attentive perusal. But it gives no satisfactory information of the number which had been impressed at that time. The first item contained in it consists of the protests of 44 different sea captains pelative to impressments from vessels under their command, to the number of 79 seamen, of these 35 are said to be Americans; 23 unknown to what nation they belonged ; 9 British subjects, and the residue of different foreign Only 16 were said to be furnished with protections. nations. about an equal number without protections, and a majority of the whole not known whether they had or had not protestions. Nine of the number were impressed by French vessels. A second item contains the returns of the collectors of the several ports, but principally from those of New York and Philadelphis, viz. 60 by the collector of Philadelphia, 120 by Mr. Lamb and Mr. Sands, collectors in succession of the port of New York, and 11 from other collectors. In the returns of the collector of Philadelphia, only 11 are stated to be American citizens, and the remainder part British, part of several neutral nations, such as Swedes, Danes, Frenchmen. Dutchmen and Prussians, and part unknown. Besides these, mention is made of two vessels, one captured by the French, and the other by the English, in which all the hands were taken out. Of the 120 returned by the successive col-

lectors of New York, 48 are said to be Americans, 30 to be British subjects, and the remainder either unknown, or of different European nations. Some of these were detained only a few days ; 22 of the impressments were by French vessels. Of the 11 returned by the other collectors, 2 were said to be Englishmen, 5 to be detained only three days, the remainder no remarks. N. B. These returns of the collectors includes the principal part of the same cases contained in the protests of the captains. In the years 1796-97 a large British fleet lay in the West Indics, and, for a time, impressments were frequent in that quarter. But the interposition of Mr. Talbot, agent for the relief of American seamen, and Mr. Craig, his assistant, 103 seamen were discharged, and the practice of impressment in that quarter, in a great measure stopped. How great a number was left, was probably unknown. Of the real Americans, the number seems not to have been great. I find also two lists of applications which had been transferred to agents in London, amounting together to 401. For one of which application had been made for their release by Mr. Pinkney, and the other by Mr. King, successive ministers at London. The business was transferred to Mr. Lenox, agent for the relief of prisoners. who states that a number, he neither states their names, nor how many, had been released prior to his application, and from the same name occurring several times, he was uncertain whether they were the names of the same or of different in-The result of Mr. Lenox's application is not dividuals. stated, nor how many had been before liberated on the applieation of Messrs. Pinkney and King. But it follows, that this number 401, deducting therefrom the number released prior to Mr. Lenox's application, and those released on his application, contain a list of all the cases then known to the agent at London. It is impossible from this document to come at any thing like an exact result. Nor is it material towards making an estimate of the number who may be det tained at this time. Because whatever that number might be, so far as it was known, it was brought forward, and the names included in succeeding applications. The only point of view in which it would be important, would be to ascertain the number which had been discharged prior to that period.

As the document of January 19, 1805, begins, as it were, new era on the subject, as it is the first which attempts any thing like a list of impressments; and, together with subsequent documents, comprises all the information to be obtained. I have examined them with a considerable degree of attention, and drawn such conclusions as appeared to me fkirly to follow.

Digitized by Google

• This report was made to congress January 19, 1805, purmant to a resolution of the house of representatives of the 19th of the preceding November. The first item consists of a list of the names of 218 seamen, for whose release application had been made. in the first instance to the department of state. So far as these instances have any date, they extend from January 1st, 1798 to October 14, 1804; 79 have a date assigned, 139 without date.

The second item contains a list of 1538 cases in which application had been made to the board of admiralty, for the release of seamen by George W. Irvine, Esq. United States agent at London. These applications were made between the beginning of March 1803, and the latter end of August 1804. Of these applications the result is given. This is the commencement of that number, which has, from time to time. accumulated to 6257, of a number which has been so often printed in staring capitals for the purpose of creating an excitement, and to impress the public with the belief that this number at least, of real Americans was at this moment forcibly detained on board the British fleet I have not examined particularly whether all, or what proportion of the preceding number of 218 is included in this list of 1538. Some of them undoubtedly are, and by comparing dates. a great proportion of them may be so included. It is however perfectly immaterial to the present enquiry, whether they are or are not so included, because all the names so reported are either contained in this or in some later ist of applications, as a matter Posterior to Mr. Talbot's agency in the West Inof course. dies, during the year 1797, although consular agents in Jamaien, reported certain cases of impressment to the department of state, yet with the exception of five instances of discharge, made by the commanding officers of the Jamaica station, as soon as he became acquainted with the circumstances, we find no mention made of any discharged, only such as were through the instrumentality of the ugent at These applications, whether made in the first in-London. stance to the department of state, or by friends at home communicated to that department, or made to consuls or vice. consuls in foreign ports, by either the parties, their friends, or the captains of the ships from whence taken, were, in the last resort, transmitted to the agent in London, and through him, application was made in behalf of his government, to The idea of swelling the number of that of Great Britain. impressments, by representing the lists contained in these documents as the mere returns of one agent, and reasoning in this way that if the returns of a single agent amount to 6000 and upward, how great must be the amount of the whole;

Digitized by Google

204

has no foundations in fact. By adopting this mode of reasoning, and multiplying impressments in this way, we may increase the amount to 20.000, or to any manher of thousands on which a fertile imagination may choose to fix. The truth is that the agent at London was the sole agent in this business. To his office the principal part of the applications were transmitted in "the first instance, and those originally made at other places were transmitted there in the last resort, and we have no evidence that information has been received by any department, of the impresement of weingle individual whose name is not included in some of these lists.

These 1538 applications are not to be considered as a statement of the precise number of impressments of which information had been obtained. It relates to the number of applieations made at different times, and is made out in this way. Application is made at a particular time for the release of a certain number of seamen whose names are mentioned. A part of the number is discharged or ordered to be discharged, and the release of the remainder, for certain reasons either delayed or, refused. The application is renewed and their names again presented a second, and it may be a third or fourth time. connected with a number of new applications. This course is continued until a release is either obtained or the application abandoned. On every renewal of the applieation the name is reinserted, and this goes to swell the list. For example we find the name of William Brown attached fo Nos. 258, 1057, 1237-William Bond Nos. 578, 1104, 1148. 1265-John Barlow Nos. 1460. 1189, 1277, 1475-John Duon Nos. 1289, 1407, 1509, 1584-that these numbers relate to the same individual, and not to others of the same name apbears from this, that where different individuals of the same name occur, a note of distinction, such as 1st. 2d, 3d, &c. is There are several William Browns so distinguished, used. but these numbers are affixed to the same William Brown, and so of the others. In the document under consideration we have a list of 1285 names alphabetically arranged. Every name in the list of 1588 applications is included in that of 1285. In 20.3 instances I find the same person numbered more than once, in 63 more than twise, in 21 more than three times and two names occur attached to five numbers each. It is impossible to ascertain bow much the numbers in some of the lists are swelled in this way, without more minute atleation than I have leisure to bestow. Probably some of them are fourth, or it may be nearly or quite one third. In \$85 instances mention is made of the city or town to which the person impressed was said to belong, and in 580 other cases the state merely is noticed. In 520 instances the time B

of impressment is mentioned although frequently with no more precision than merely to notice the year : and in 844 cases notice is taken of the place or particular quarter of the world in which it happened. In something more than one half of the cases no mention whatever is made of the place to which the parties belonged ; in a fraction more than one third either the day. month or year of the impressment is mentioned, and in something less than one fourth, notice is taken of the place. In those cases where the place is mentioned there occur comparatively but a few instances in which it could have been prevented, had even the broad principle of the flag protecting all who sail under it been adopted. the whole number 344, not more than 8 or 10 were impressed at sea. or while in the immediate prosecution of their voyage. Including those impressed in the channel and in several toves, roads, rivers, and harbours, the number was about 50. of the remainder 93 were impressed at London. 34 at Liverpool, and of the residue part in other British European ports, and part in Jamaica. Barbadoes. and the other West 'India Islands, and in other places from one to two, three, and four in a place. In 435 instances the name of the ship from which the men were impressed is mentioned. In some instances two, three and four were taken out of the same ship. It would have been an important piece of information, had it been stated in this, as it is in some other documents, what proportion of these impressments were from American and what from British vessels, because in cases where American sailors went voluntarily on board of British merchant shins. and were impressed from them, it could not be complained of as a vielation of the American flag. As, for the most part, ne other information is given on this subject. than morely to state the mame of the ship, I am not sufficiently conversant in vocabularies of this kind to know the national character of a shin merely by its name. Some however are so plainly designated by their names that their national character cannot be mistaken, particularly where they are expressly said to belong to London, Hull, Shields. Sc. or designated as Colliers, or to have such names as Tippe Saib, British King, Royal Charlotte, Duke of Kent, Dover Castle, Sc. I find 42 instances of impressments from ships plainly characterised to be British by their names, four from French vessels. and five from So far as we can judge from other documents e Prussian. which I shall presently bring in review, in which the national character of the vessel is particularly mentioned, the proportion of impressments from British ships must be much greater. An abstract of the result of these applications is as follows.

Whole number of applications	1538
Of these are said to be original applications	1232
Duplicate applications	30.6
Of these had been discharged or ordered to be disc	harged 437
Not on board the ship specified 4	105
On board of ships on a foreign station	22
Ship on board of which they are said to be lost	6
Ship on board of which they are said to be not in com	mission 3
Deserted	13
Drowned or dead	~ 2
Applications unanswored	163
Because they had no documents	383
Because their documents said to be in	nsufficient 210
Because they had voluntarily enlisted	
lefused to be Begause married in Great Britain	17
discharged, A Because alledged to be British subje	ects 49
Because said to be prisoners of war	- 2
Because they do not appear to have	been im-
pressed	6

2

15**38** ms kinds.

The documents said to be insufficient, are of various kinds, such as certificates of naturalization, protections from convuls and vice consuls, and notarial affidavits, made either in the United States or in Great Britain. To avoid repetition in every abstract, I merely state documents insufficient, without expressing any opinion of their validity, only that they are considered as inadequate by the British government.

In the same report from the department of state, we have a communication made by Mr. Savage, consul at Jamaica, of 88 cases of impressment in the West Indies; 18 of whom appear to have had the customary protections; 62 claim to be Americans, and a few who were unfurnished with protections appear to exhibit other proof. I find an account of the dissharge of 5 of this number, by the commanding officer on the Jamaica station, which, with two others, under different eircumstances, are the only instances that I have met with of discharges in any other way than through the agent at London, since Mr. Talbet's mission to the West Indies in 1797. A part of these 88 are included in the grand list of 1538; how many I have not ascertained, nor, according to an observation already made, is it material.

The next communication which I find on the subject of impressments, is a report from the department of state of March 6, 1806, pursuant to a resolution of the house of representatives of January 6, preceding. In the letter which accompanies this report, it is stated that the aggregate amount of impressments, previous to that time, since the commencement of the wars in Europe, was 2273, and that it was not easy to

distinguish with accuracy, how many of these were, citizens This report gives the names of 913 of the United States seamen, said to have been impressed, but does not furnish the means of ascertaining how many of this number are original, and how many only duplicates of former applications. All however are included in the total of 2273. I am unable to discover from the documents how this number is made 913 added to 1538, makes an excess of 178; added to out. 1232, the number of original applications, it leaves a deficit of 128. If we add 503, the only number which it would seem could fairly be added, as that was the sum total of the applications, the deficiency will be still greater. That total must therefore be made out by the bringing forward of some number not stated in the documents, of which we must be contentedly ignorant. The number 913, is divided in the report into the following items : No. 1, contains a statement of 503 cases, in which application had been made for a release between September 1, 1804, and May 18, 1805, with the These are not numbered as in the former document; result. no information is given how many of them are duplicate applications, or included in the preceding report of January 19. 1805, nor do I possess the means of ascertaining with preeision the place which they occupy in the grand number of 6257. No montion is made in the document, either of the part of the United States to which they belonged, or of the place where they were impressed. In 221 instances the time of impressment is stated. The principal part of these dates are in 1802, 8. 4 and 5, but some as early as 1798. More than half of them are sufficiently early to have been included in the last report; and to occupy the place of duplicate applications in this. No abstract is given of the report. have taken some pains to collect one from the details. which. although possibly it may not be in every punctilio correct, I believe contains no very material error.

Whole number 505 Discharged, or ordered to be discharged 127 On board of ships of foreign stations 38 . Not found on board of the ships in which said to be detained 21 3 Unknown in what ship they are serving 3 Deserted Applications unanswered 58 Because they had no documents 112 35 Because their documents insufficient -Because British subjects, either without or Refused to be with spurious protections 43 Because sent on board for mitinous conduct 5 discharged, Because released from a French privon 1 1 . . . Because exchanged as an English prisoner of ٤ war

11

503

No. 2, 's a statement of 363 applications made, in the first instance to the department of state, and not before reported to the house of representatives, nor included in the returns of the American agent at London. These are of course included in some other list of applications. About one half of these are dated. Like the former, the dates are principally in 1803, 4 and 5. Of these 155 were furnished with protections, 102 without protections, of 32 it is not stated whether they had or had not protections, 35 appeared to be British subjects. 5 claimed to be Americans without exhibiting any proof, 6 had lost their protections, 5 had voluntarily enlisted, and 18 were composed of Swedes, Portuguese, Prussians, Dutch and Danes.

No. 3. contains a list of 47 cases, reported by the United States agent at Jamaica; concerning whom no other remark is made, than that they claimed to be citizens of the United States. I have not examined in what list of applications either the 363 or the 47 are included.

The next communication in the order of time, on the subject of impressments, is a report made to congress, March 2, 1808, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of November 30, 1807. As this report was more than three months in preparing, satisfactory information may be expected.

No. 1, is entitled a list of impressments from American. vessels into the British service, between that date and thelast report made March 5, 1806. This contains a list of 697 names of impressed seamen, of whom information had been received from documents transmitted in the first instance to the department of state. It follows as a matter of course, that they are included either in that which follows, or in some other application to the British gavernment. Of these 131 claim to be Americans without producing any proof, 29 are acknowledged to be British subjects, and 22 to be neutral aliens; the remaining 515 are said to have produced proof, that they were Americans, i. e. such proof as an American protection, managed in the manner in which they are usually conducted, is calculated to furnish. In 215 instances the impressments is dated, and in no more than 76 cases is there any mention of the place. It is not meant that these 697 impressments all took place between the dates of the dif-

ferent reports; for of the 215 which are dated, 32 took place in 1805, a number in 1803 and 1804, and two in 1798. The meaning is, that within these dates information was communicated to the department of state.

Nos. 2 to 18 inclusive, contain a series of quarterly returns of applications, with the result, the first number commencing April 10. 1806, and the last ending with September 30, 1807, both inclusive. The total of these returns is 798. Separate abstracts are given of the result for each quarter. These I have condensed into one general abstract of the whole. As there are no explicit references to a former application, I cannot ascertain what proportion is original and what a continuation of former applications. Even the frequent recurrence of the same name, furnishes but a very equivocal kind of evidence, because distinct individuals, not unfrequently, have the same name. By recurring to the dates of these impressments however, so far as any date is assigned, many of them were sufficiently early to have been contained in a former list, and the observations already made on the report of January 1805, are equally applicable to this. With the exception of 146 cases, no mention is made of the place of impressment, and of that number comparatively very few happened on the high seas, or where they could claim the protection of the American flag; and for any thing that appears, they either may or may not be Americans .---With the greatest number one important evidence of citizenship seems to be wanting, i. e. notice of the place to which they belonged. In not more than 150 instances is there any men-Tion made of either the state, city, or town in the United States, of which they are said or supposed to be inhabitants. And with the exception of about an equal number the impressment is without date. In 102 instances, mention is made of the name of the vessel, with a designation of its national character, 56 of these were American, and 44 British ves-One man was impressed from a French and another sels. from a Swedish ship. There is one thing farther in this document which I find myself unable to explain. In the report of January 1805, we find applications for the release of seamen numbered from 1 to 1538. This list begins with No. To fill this chasm I can find 2799 leaving a chasm of 1260. no more than 503. The number of applications contained in the report of March 6, 1806. leaving a deficit of 757. lf we add the 363 reported to the department of state, and the \$7 reported by the agent at Jamaica, which on no principle of fair reckoning ought to be added, 347 will still be wanting. By what form of process the chasm between 1538 and 2799 can be filled up with 503; the only number which can fairly

Whole number of applications798Of these were original applications762Duplicate applications36Discharged or ordered to be discharged273Not on board of the ships stated36On board of ships on foreign stations48Ship not in commission on board of which said to be2Ship lost on board of which said to be1Deserted3Drow ned121Invalided33Because they had no documents33Because they were British subjects44Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily entered40Because anaive of Arica1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reasos assigned1The next report made to congress is merely an abstract ofthe result of applications for the release of 903 seamen,This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Repre	ble conjecture first thought y diate communi- tion that there as the last.— is as follows.	en with 913, the largest number which pla can supply, I am incompetent to explain. vas that there must have been some inter ication to congress. But I find, on exam is none. This report referring to that of -An abstract of the result of these applicat	My rme- iina- 1806 tions
Duplicate applications36Discharged or ordered to be discharged273Not en board of the ships stated36On board of ships on foreign stations48Ship not in commission on board of which said to be2Ship lost on board of which said to by1Deserted2Invalided2Applications unanswered121Because they had no documents33Because they documents were deemed insufficient117Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily entered40Because they had voluntarily entered40Because anatives of the West Indies2Because anatives of the West Indies3British subjects sent on board for mutinous conduct3Because taken out of a Strench privateer1Because taken out of a singling vessel1Because taken out of a singling vessel1Because he was a deserter1No particular reasos assigned179\$79\$The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen, This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March, I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated, It is as follows, Whole number of applications903Of which were original applications903	Whole n	umber of applications	798
Duplicate applications36Discharged or ordered to be discharged272Not en board of the ships stated36On board of ships on foreign stations48Ship not in commission on board of which said to be2Ship lost on board of which said to by1Deserted2Invalided2Applications unanswered121Because they had no documents33Because they had no documents33Because they were British subjects44Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily entered40Because anatives of the West Indies2Because anatives of the West Indies2Because anative of Africa1British subjects sent on board for mutinous conduct3Because taken out of a Strench privateer1Because taken out of a sinugging vessel1Because t	Of these wer	e original applications	762
Discharged or ordered to be discharged 272 Not en board of the ships stated 36 On board of ships on foreign stations 48 Ship not in commission on board of which said to be 2 Ship lost on board of which said to be 1 Deserted 8 Drowned 2 Invalided 2 Applications unanswered 121 Because they had no documents 33 Because their documents were deemed insuffi- cient 117 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they mere British subjects 44 Because they mere British subjects 5 Because they had voluntarily, entered 40 Because a native of Africa 1 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 3 Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen, This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March, 1 dont know that any thing More than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows, Whole number of applications 30			36
Not en board of the ships stated36On board of ships on foreign stations48Ship not in commission on board of which said to be2Ship lost on board of which said to be1Deserted8Drowned2Invalided2Applications unanswered121Because they had no documents33Because they had no documents33Because they were British subjects44Because they were British subjects44Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily, entered40Because married in the United Kingdom7Because anatives of the West Indies2Because anative of Africa1Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel1Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel1Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he did not wish to gat abstract of1The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of1This was communicated from the department of state April55, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Representatives of the 5th of March, I dont know that any thingMore than the abstract was communicated, It is as follows.903Of which were original applications903			
On board of ships on foreign stations Ship not in commission on board of which said to be Ship lost on board of which said to be Deserted Drowned Invalided Applications unanswered Because they had no documents Because their documents were deemed insuffi- cient Because they were British subjects Because they were British subjects Because they were British subjects Because they were British subjects Because they had voluntarily, entered Because a native of Africa Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct Because taken out of a French privateer Because taken out of a sinugging vessel Because he did not wish to quit the service Because he was a deserter No particular reason assigned The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March, I dont know that any thing more than the abstraot was communicated. It is as follows. Whole numbey of applications State a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v	
Ship not in commission on board of which said to be Ship lost on board of which said to be Deserted Deserted Drowned Invalided Applications unanswered Secause they had no documants Because they were British subjects Herein the United Kingdom Because anatives of the West Indies Because a native of Africa Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct Because taken out of a French privateer Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel Because he did not wish to quit the service Because he was a deserter No particular reason assigned The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications State applicatio			• •
Ship lost on board of which said to be1Deserted89 Drowned2Invalided2Applications unanswered121Because they had no documents33Because their documents were deemed insufficient117Because they had voluntarily entered40Because they had voluntarily entered40Because they had voluntarily entered40Because a natives of the West Indies2Because a natives of the West Indies2Because a native of Africa1Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reasos assigned1798The next report made to congress is merely an abstract ofthe result of applications for the release of 903 seamen.This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Representatives of the 5th of Marchi. I dont know that any thingMore than the abstract was communicated. It is as			
Deserted 8 Drowned 2 Invalided 2 Applications unanswered 121 Because they had no documants 33 Because their documents were deemed insuffi- cient 117 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they had voluntarily entered 40 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because a native of Africa 1 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from 5 French and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 2 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reasos assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of Marchi. 1 dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30			
4 Drowned 2 Invalided 2 Applications unanswered 121 Because they had no documents 33 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they were British subjects 44 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from 1 French and Spanish prisons 3 Because taken out of French privateer 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 79\$ 7 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of 1 the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. 7 This was communicated from the department of state Aprill 5 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>			-
Invalided 2 Applications unanswered 121 Because they had no documents 33 Because their documents were deemed insufficient 117 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they had voluntarily entered 40 Because they had voluntarily entered 40 Because they had voluntarily entered 40 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because natives of the West Indies 2 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from 7 Breach and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 3 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March; I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30			
Applications unanswered121.Because they had no documents33Because they had no documents33Because their documents were deemed insufficient117Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily entered40Because married in the United Kingdom7Because natives of the West Indies2Because a native of Africa1Because a native of Africa1Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons3British subjects sent on board for mutinous conduct3Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a sinugging vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reasos avsigned1798The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications, for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March; I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications0f which were original applications9030f which were original applications9030f which were original applications903		· · ·	
Because they had no documents 33 Because their documents were deemed insuffi- cient 117 Because they were British subjects 44 Because they had voluntarily entered 40 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because married in the United Kingdom 7 Because anative of Africa 2 Because anative of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from 5 French and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 2 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a sinuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason avsigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 573 Duplicate applications 30		•	
Because their documents were deemed insufficient117Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily, entered40Because married in the United Kingdom7Because married in the United Kingdom7Because anaives of the West Indies2Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons3British subjects sent on board for mutinous conduct3Because taken out of a Smuggling vessel1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reason avsigned179\$79\$The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications903Of which were original applications903Of which were original applications904			
cient117Because they were British subjects44Because they had voluntarily entered40Because they had voluntarily entered40Because married in the United Kingdom7Because natives of the West Indies2Because a native of Africa1Because a native of Africa1Because exchanged as British subjects from1French and Spanish prisons3British subjects sent on board for mutinous conduct2Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reason assigned179\$79\$The next report made to congress is merely an abstract ofthe result of applications for the release of 903 seamen.This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1816, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Representatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thingmore than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows.Whole number of applications903Of which were original applications903			
Refused to be discharged, Refused to be discharged, The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1816, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Strist applications Strist applications Refused to be duct Because taken out of a the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing Strist applications Strist ap		Because their documents were deemed insuffi	•
Refused to be discharged, Refused to be discharged, The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30 Because applications 67 Because applications 903 Because applications 72 Because applications 72 Because applications 72 The next report made to solution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing Supplications 73 Burlicular solutions 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 70 Because 30 Because 30 Because 40 Because 40			117
Refused to be discharged, Refused to be discharged, The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30 Because applications 67 Because applications 903 Because applications 72 Because applications 72 Because applications 72 The next report made to solution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing Supplications 73 Burlicular solutions 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 73 Because applications 70 Because 30 Because 30 Because 40 Because 40	· ·	Because they were British subjects	44
Refused to be discharged, Because anatives of the West Indies 2 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 2 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. 1 dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 873 Duplicate applications 30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Because they had voluntarily, entered	40
Refused to be discharged, Because a natives of the West Indies 2 Because a native of Africa 1 Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons 3 British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 2 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 79% The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. 1 dont know that any thing More than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 873 Duplicate applications 30	• 1	Because married in the United Kingdom	7
Refused to be discharged, Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct Because taken out of a French privateer Because taken out of a Smuggling vessel Because he did not wish to quit the service Because he was a deserter No particular reason assigned The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications Duplicate applications So			2
Refused to be discharged, Because exchanged as British subjects from French and Spanish prisons British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct Because taken out of a French privateer Because taken out of a Smuggling vessel Because he did not wish to quit the service Because he was a deserter No particular reason assigned The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications Duplicate applications So			1
Alternated to be discharged,French and Spanish prisons British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct3British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- ductBecause taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a French privateer1Because taken out of a smuggling vessel1Because he did not wish to quit the service1Because he was a deserter1No particular reason assigned179%The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March.1 dont know that any thing 903Mole number of applications903Of which were original applications873 30			
British subjects sent on board for mutinous con- duct 3 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 79\$ The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. 1 dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30	Menused to be		
duct 3 Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 79\$ The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March, 1 dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30	discharged,		-
Because taken out of a French privateer 1 Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a snuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			
Because said to be prisoners of war 8 Because taken out of a snuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Because taken out of a smuggling vessel 1 Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			-
Because impostors with fraudulent protections 12 Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			-
Because he did not wish to quit the service 1 Because he was a deserter 1 No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30		because taken out of a sinugging vessel	
Because he was a deserter No particular reason assigned 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 0f which were original applications Duplicate applications 30		Because impostors with traudulent protections	12
No particular reason assigned 1 798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			1
798 The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			1
The next report made to congress is merely an abstract of the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications 903 Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30	. LI	No particular reason assigned	1,
the result of applications for the release of 903 seamen. This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications Duplicate applications 30			798
This was communicated from the department of state April 5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications Duplicate applications 30	The next rep	ort made to congress is merely an abstract	tof
5, 1810, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Represen- tatives of the 5th of March. I dont know that any thing more than the abstract was communicated. It is as follows. Whole number of applications Of which were original applications Duplicate applications 30	This was comm	uniouted from the department of state As	
tatives of the 5th of March.I dont know that any thingmore than the abstract was communicated.It is as follows.Whole number of applications903Of which were original applications873Duplicate applications30			
more than the abstract was communicated.It is as follows.Whole number of applications903.Of which were original applications873.Duplicate applications30.			
Whole number of applications903.7Of which were original applications873Duplicate applications30			
Whole number of applications903.7Of which were original applications873Duplicate applications30	more than the ab	stract was communicated. It is as follow	vs. <
Of which were original applications 873 Duplicate applications 30			
Duplicate applications 30			73

ì

2

: Not on board	the ships as stated	.23
-; On board of s	hips on foreign stations	48
. Stated to be	in a ship not in commission	-1
	ne transport board	Ì.
Deserted		32
Invalided	• • • • •	21
Drowned	• • • • •	Ĩ
Applications 1	inanswered	103
	Because they had no documents	11
•	Documents said to be insufficient	121
1	Said to be natives of England or Ireland	91
• . I	Because they had voluntarily enlisted	34
1	Because married in England or Lieland	7
	Not answering the descriptions in their pro-	
.	tections	
		- 49
	Impostors with fraudulent protections	
	Exchanged as prisoners of war	
1	No ground to believe them Americans	3
Refused to be	Said to be describers	4
discharged, 7	Because totally isporant of the United States	5
	Because taken on board privateers	5
-	Protections taken from them	4
· .]	Because taken when defrauding the revenue	2
	Because they had erased protections	2
-	Sent on board by masters of vessels for mutiny	
· · · ·	Irishmen sent into the service for misdemeanors	12
	Because natives of the West Indies	3
	Do. of Africa	1
	Do. of Canada	4
•	Do. of Hanover	, 1
10 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	4 · · ·	903

The last communication made to Congress on the subject of impresement was the report of January 16, 1812, pursuant to a resolution of the House of Representatives of November 29 preceding. This contains, 1st a list of the names of scamen of whom information had been communicated in the first instance, to the department of state, since the date of the last report of March 2d 1810, this list contains 200 names, 168 of whom had produced the customary proofs of citizenship No date of impressment mentioned.

2. A detailed report of 1558 applications in cases of impressment with the result, in 6 quarterly returns from Mr. Lyman consul at London, beginning April 1st, 1809, and ending September 30, 1810. These, like the former lists, consisted partly of new cases, and was in part the continuation of former applications, which had in the first instance, been unsuccessful. The relative proportion of these different kinds of applications cannot be distinctly ascertained. But as more than 4-5ths of the dates of those which have any date assigned are prior to the year 1810, some as early as 1797

and 1798, it may be fairly inferred that no inconsiderable number of these applications may have been preferred before 1809. In 349 cases mention is made of the places to which the parties belonged. In about the same number, and with only one or two variations the some individuals, the date of the impressment is noticed, and in 340 cases, these also of the same individuals, with only a few omissions, we are informed of the place, region, or quarter of the world in which it happened. Of these 340, only 23 are said to have been impressed at sea, and about 60 more off or near, particular coasts, capes, headlands, roads, coves, rivers and harbours, and in the Of the remainder the greater part are said to be impresschannel. ed at London, Liverpool, and other ports in Great Britain, and in Jamaica and the other West India islands, places where the American flag could not protect them. In 270 instances, not only the name but the national character of the ship is given, out of which they were impressed. It surely never can be pretended that the American flag should protect even our native seamen, when four d on board foreign, particularly British ships. By adverting to the national character of these ships, we find impressingents from American ships 158, British do. 98, French do. 10, Danish 2, Swedish 2-The portion of those impressed from British, being to those impressed from American nearly 2 to 3 or 2-5ths, I find references made to former applications placed against 137 names, that number being mentioned more than once, 24 names mentioned more than twice, 11 more than three times, and three as much as 5 times. For example Daniel M. Reynold placed against No. 4655 and referring back to Nos. 2725, 2856, 3262 and 3756. William Smith 9th place ed against No. 4766 and refers to Nos. 2726. 2857, 3263, 3755. These two individuals seem to have been on board of the same ship which was on a foreign station. By means of these repetitions the apparent number exceeds the real one by 176 This list begins with No. 4500 and ends with 6057 The 200 applications made in the first instance to the department of state, added to this total makes the staring number 6257. The number 903 contained in the abstract of March 1810, of which there are no details, fills up the chasm between the close of the detailed report of April 1808, and this of 1812. Six separate abstracts are given of the same number of quarterly returns which I have condensed into one general abstract of the whole-As follows. Whole number

Whole number		1558
Duplicate applications		. 2
D-scharged and ordered to be discharged		401
Not on board the ships stated	•	58
On board of ships on foreign stations		145
Ships not ascertained on board of which they are serving Said to be on board of ships not in commission		51 5
Deserted		39
Invalided		47
Drowned, died or killed		1
Applications unanswered		٩.

		167
		29
	Because their documents were insufficient	183
	Because they had voluntarily enlisted	49
	Because they were not Americans	g
	Because they were deserters	12
	Because taken on hoard of enemy's privateers	43
	Because they had fraudulent protections	30
	Did not answer the descriptions in their protes-	•
	tions	75
	Because married in the United Kingdom	21
	Because ignorant of the United States	5
	Exchanged as British subjects from enemy pri-	-
Tofuesta to	soners	6
Refused to be	Because said to be impostors	6
discharged,	Having formerly belonged to the navy	ĩ
•	Having used the sea before being bound	i
	Because released from prison at Gottenburg by	•
•	the British consul, to whom they applied for	
· ·	protections	3
•	Protection irregular, dated May 29, in the Uni-	. q
	ted States and endorsed in London, June 6th	
	following	•
· .	Because natives of the West Indies	14
	Do. of Sweden	14
	Do. of Africa	*
	Do. of Prussia	
	Do. of Italy	L
		1
	No reason assigned	19

1559 I have passed in review as briefly as possible, all the documents on the subject of impressments which have come to my hand. It is impossible to ascertain the precise number from these complicated and voluminous reports. But, so far as I have been able to collect facts, from the best data to which I had access, the number has been much overrated. Let us take the number 6257 for an example. This however is increased by the addition of 200 not preperly belonging to it, not being included in any of Mr. Lyman's returns, but consisting of applications made in the first instance to the department of state, and which may have been in whole or in part released on a posterior application. But to make the most of that number, I will in the first instance admit the whole. From this deduct in the first place, for duplicate, triplicate, and guadruplicate applications, i. e. instances in which the name of the same person is numbered from twice to four and five times, 548. It is probable that the real number is much greater; but to that amount it is escertained by indisputable evidence from the documents. Add to this 757, being an obvious excess in the enumeration, between the reports of January 1805, and of March 1808. This will leave

4952. I have found 370 instances of impressments from ships whose

stional character is ascertained; of these I have found 142, nearly 2-5ths of the whole to have been from British vessels. If we add 16 to that number, being the amount of impressments from French, Swedish and Danish vessels, it will make a fraction more than 2-5ths. It is a fair inference, that where no mention is made of the name of the vessel, and where its national character is not designated, the proportion of British to American vessels will be nearly the same. Not that the number of American seamen on beard British vessels is in that proportion, but from their being more liable to impressment. But instead of 2.5ths, I will estimate the impressments from British vessels at 1-3d; this will leave a balance of 3302. Of this number there have been discharged and ordered to be discharged 1524, omitting the discharges in the West Indies, in consequence of Mr. Talbot's mission; this will leave 1778. On perusing the documents, I find 516 acknowledged to be British subjects, 568 who had no documents, 664 with documents declared to be insufficient, 281 who had entered voluntarily, who although they might afterwards wish for a discharge, the United States would not consider a refusal to release them as a cause of war, 195 with protections evidently fraudulent, deserted 95, 50 either neutral aliens or natives of the West Indies, married in Great Britain 42, prisoners of war 21, making a total of 2493, being an excess over the number 1778 of 713. But if we deduct from this amount 831, the proportion of these classes supposed to have been impressed from British vessels, it leaves 116 still unaccounted for. It may perhaps be said with truth, that part of those whose documents were deemed insufficient, were real Americans, and that the proofs ought to have been admitted. This is probably true. But when it is considered that 200 have been included in the number who did not properly belong to it, and who have been probably in part, and it may be all discharged, and that it was only in such documents as were evidently fraudulent, in which the fraud was detected, while it was in many instances so well executed as to elude discovery, and that the number who had volunteered was probably greater than it has been estimated. This will go a considerable length in balancing any number of real Americans who may be supposed to be among those whose documents were deemed insufficient. It is a consideration farther deserving notice, that, in addition to the loose manner in which protections are granted in the first instance, and the traffic in, as well as forgeries of these documents plready noticed, it is at least very singular, that in cases where applicants are real Americans, neither themselves nor friends should be able to state their places of residence, or that both should be so negligent as to omit it. No evidence could be more conclusive than a well attested document of this kind. In 3894 applications, I mention that number, because in lists to that amount this information is attempted to be given, and I suppose is given in all the instances in which it was known to the department of state, it is only in 1264 cases, less than one third of the whole, that any place of residence is mentioned, and in more than half of these the information is no more precise than merely to notice the state, and in some merely

í

đ

ľ

t

t

ŧ

that the party belonged to the United States, a piece of evidence nearly equivalent to noné at all; for if an attempt is made to prove a man the citizen of a state, it must be by pointing out the particular place or town in the state of which he is a native or an inhabitant. Whatever other reasons there may be for omitting a piece of information so important to its object, I think the most powerful one to be that the parties never had any residence in the United States. Upon a view of the whole subject, I think it at least probable that nearly and perhaps quite as many seamen have been discharged, as there have been impressed of real Americans out of American ships, although they may not be precisely the same individuals. No doubt a part of those impressed from British ships are real Americans, and I do not find many instances of such being But if Americans have voluntarily placed themselves discharged under the British flag, I see not that their detention ought to be the ground of a national quarrel. The idea that any very great number of seamen are confined on board of British ships, does not appear to be substantiated by such facts as would be expected was it Although we hear of the many thousands of our impressed real. seamen who are suffering in these floating dungeons or hells, as they have been called, which have been multiplied to 20, and I be-Leve even to 40 the usand; yet come to point out known individuals, it is questionable whether 100 could be designated by their names and places of abode. Where do these seamen belong? Do they belong to Boston, Salem, Marblehead, Portland, Newport, Providence, or to any other parts of New England, a part of the country which has perhaps furnished more native seamen than all the United States besides ? No. Do they belong to New York, New Jersey, Philadelphia. Baltimore, Norfolk, Charleston, or to any other place either in the southern or middle states? No. It is very questionable whether more than half a dozen individuals could be pointed out in either of those places. Where then in the name of common sense do they belong, if they are citizens of the United States !--We will certainly search in vain for them in Kentucky, Ohio, Ten-' nessee, or any of the western states or territorics. So many details may be considered as dry, and the perusal uninteresting. Under different circumstances this would be the case. Few are disposed to examine calculations, where a recourse to figures is necessary. But in our present situation, involved in a war, which must be disastrous, and may, in the end, prove ruinous to our best interests, on this single point, it is of importance to investigate facts, and as far as practicable, ascertain the magnitude of the evil. Having protracted this address to a much greater length than was intended, my concluding remarks will be but few. It may, however be of importance to observe, that when we were procipitated into a war for the commencement of which this was assigned as one of the principal grounds, and is now the sole cause for which it is continued, the evil was not increasing but rather diminishing. Between January 19, 1805 and March 6th 1806-363 applications were communicated to the department of state, exclusive of 47 to the agent at Jamaica. Between that date, and the second of the same month 1808, 697

applications. From that date to April 5, 1810, no information. From that date to January 19, 1812, 200 applications. That Great Britain has refused to release Americans, known to be such ; unless it be in the case of voluntary enlistments, marriage in Great, Britain, or some other circumstance which is peculiar in their situation, does not appear in evidence. That she is in the habit of transferring impressed Americans from ship to ship, in order that applications for their release may be rendered abortive. and all enquiries about them fruitless, is shown not to be founded on fact, by the great numbers which she has released from time to time. I have not brought into this reckoning those released previous to 1804, altho" the balance detained is brought forward in the reckoning. That she has invariably refused to negotiate on the subject of impressment, is also assertion without proof. The correspondence between Lord Grenville and Mr. King, showed it to be a subject of great difficulty and delicacy, but it neither showed an entire disinclination to, negotiate, nor gave ground absolutely to despair of From that time to 1806 I know not that there was any success. sincere attempt to negotiate on the subject. This attempt gave no ground to despair of success. Had the treaty of 1806 been ratified. there is little doubt, but the informal arrangement connected with that instrument would have practically secured to both governments every thing to be wished on the subject. That treaty was contemptuously sent back by the then chief magistrate, without deigning to make the experiment, or even to submit it to the Senate, and one source of all the difficulties which have since arisen between the two nations, on that and other subjects, may be traced to that rash act. Since that period there has been no attempt at negotiation on that subject, unless it was coupling it, in a moment of irritation, with the affair of the Chesapeake, which was soon abandoned This not only failed of success, but was a means of protracting the settlement of the other difficulty to a much later period than it otherwise would have been. It has since been merged in other difficulties, and neither in the tenders made to the different belligerents during the embargo and non-intercourse, nor in the arrangement made with Mr. Erskine in 1809, was the want of an express stipulation on the subject of impressment, considered as an insurmountable obstacle to an accommodation. It must therefore seem strange that, when the evil itself is lessened, and every other ground of complaint substantially removed, it should still be considered of such magnitude as to be a sufficient carse for contipuing the war. If it be said that the principle itself is a sufficient cause of war and we ought not to give up the contest before that is relinquished. Without attempting at all to discuss the question about the principle, I answer that I have no idea of going to war for an abstract principle whether it be right or wrong. A man may profess the principle that he has a right to my farm, but so long as he attempts no practical step, either legal or violent, to establish his claim I will not go to law with him. There have been many long and bloody wars between Great Britain and France, many of them on grounds sufficiently ridiculous, but I know not that any one war

was for this cause alone, that one of the Kings of Great Britain assumed, and his successors for several centuries continued to adopt the ridiculous title of King of France. Great Britain may claim the right of impressing her own subjects from on board of American vessels, or she may claim if you please, the right of impressing Americans, which by the way she has never done; but it is only in the practical exercise of that right that it can be a real gvievance or a cause of war. I have hitherto said nothing about the probability of obtaining the object in pursuit by war. I believe there is none. We may take Canada and Nova-Scotia, and the Floridas into the bargain, i. e. if we can obtain them, and affairs on the ocean remain in the same state as at present, unless it be by an alteration for the worse. If ever the object is obtained or the difficulty settled it must be by amicable negotiation. Even after a war of seven years, or a longer period it must come to that at last, and whenever a disposition to meet the subject in that way is mutual, experience has shewn that, although difficult and delicate, it is not Inpracticable. Great Britain has an undoubted claim upon her own seamen, and we have no right either to detain or secrete them under fictitious protections. They are necessary to her national existence and independence. We have a right to have ours guarded against vexatious impressments. If we wish to secure ours, it must be by a readiness to surrender hers. Concessions must be mutual if ever peace and good understanding is to be re-establish-It is idle to expect coercion to effect it. In war as well as ed. other great national or individual undertakings, calculations ought to be made about the probable expense and risk, compared with the object to be obtained. The point in view here is the securing of a right which, in the full extent it has been claimed, will operate indiscriminately in favor of British and American sailors, and it is uncertain whether it would not prove more injurious than beneficial to our native seamen. As it respects the expense of this war, we have a good sample in what has already taken place. Should it be continued another campaign without any additional expense to what has aircady been authorised, it will, besides exhausting the ordinary sources of revenue, make an addition of \$ \$7,000,000 to our national debt, viz. 27 millions in loans and 10 ditto treasury notes. In addition to the expense, the prodigal waste of human life, and the increase of human misery necessarily attendant upon war, onght to be brought into the estimate. Hitherto our expense of blood and treasure, has not been rewarded by many laurels. These considerations have induced me to believe, that the sacrifice is infinitely too great for the object to be obtained, and that a farther prosecution of the war, now when the principal cause for which it was commenced is removed, in spite of defeat, disgrace, disaster, and a growing opposition of public sentiment against it, for an object wholly inadequate to the sacrifice to be made, and which, if it is ever obtained, must be procured in another way, is putting, the vital interests of the country at hazard without the prospect of any adequate return. On these accounts I have uniformly been opposed to the war, although that opposition has been bitherto unsuccessful. I feel however a satisfaction in the consciousness that I

have done my duty. I have taken some pains to render the above statements correct But, being for a long time unaccustomed to figures, it is possible some mistakes may have crept in. I hope there are none of moment. Deductions and reasonings must stand or fall by their own merit. Any material error I shall with pleasure retract when pointed out. A condemnation of the whole in bulk without examination, will be suffered to pass unnoticed.—With expression of my best wishes for the prosperity and happiness of our common country, and with grateful sentiments for the repeated marks of approbation given to my feeble, though sincere endeavors to discharge the duties of my station,

I subscribe,

Your friend and fellow citizen,

SAML. TAGGART.

Digitized by Google

Washington, February 17, 1813: