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IN behalf of the Committee of Arrangements, we have the honor to exprefs to you their thanks for the excellent Oration you delivered on the Anniverfary of the Independence of the United States of America, the 4 th inftant, and to defire a copy for the prefs.

> We have the honor to be with high
> perfonal refpect and efteem, Sir, your very humble fervants,

HUGH M CLALLEN, JONATHAN M•GEE, CLARK CHANDLER.
Rev. SAMUEL TAGGART.

## Gentiemen,

THOUGH I am far from fuppofing my Oration on the $4^{\text {th inftant, }}$ juftly merits the encomiums beftowed upon it, yet, fuch as it is, the copy is at your fervice.

I am, Gentlemen, your
friend and fervant,
SAMUEL TAGGART.
The Gentlemen of the Committec of Arrangements. $\}$

## ADVERTISEMENT.

ON account of the extreme length of the performance, a few paffages were $\mathrm{o}_{-}$ mitted in the delivery, Thefe are inferted in the publication.
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FRIENDS, and FELLOW-CITIZENS,

THOUGH not wholly unacquainted with the bufinefs of public fpeaking, yer, on account of the novelty of the occafion, and the prefent being fomewhat remote from the ufual method in which I have been accuftomed to addrefs public affemblies, I feel a degree of diffidence in making the attempt. It being my ufual practice to deliver my fentimeass in a plana, fimple, unornamented fyle, I fhall not deviate from that method on the prefent occafion, The fowers of oratory or the ornaments of diction, you are not to expect. The fubject before us has been fo often con fidered that it is difficult to exhibit any thing new. If fomething however may be fuggefted, tending to excite in the minds of this numerous audience, fentiments of gratitude to that Being upon whom we all contantly depend for every bleffing we enjoy, and whofe hata has heretofore appeared fo confpicuounly, in enabling us to furmount all the difficulties, and protecting us in the midft of all the dangers which we had to pals through, in order to our becoming an independent nation, I hall efteem the prefent a profitable iervicr.

This Anniverfary completes twenty-feven years fince a band of Patrigts, fired with an heroic zeal, and animated with fuch a noble enthufiafn, as raifed then above all fenfe or dread of impending danger, pus
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their lives in their hands, and making a folemn appeal to heaven for the rectitude of their intentions, figned that memorable inftrument which declared the thirteen united Britifh Colonies free, fovereign, independent States, pledging, at the fame time, their lives, fortunes and facred honour, to fupport the declaration, thereby claiming a rank among the nations of the world.

The event has proved that what was, at that time done on earth, has been ratified in heaven. Afrer a long and arduous ftruggle, during which we were, in a variety of inftances, like Ifrael of old, a people fignally, and eminently faved of the Lord, our independence has been acknowledged, and placed on a permanent bafis, exhibiting to the world a literal fulfilment of that fcripture prophecy which declares that a nation fhould be born in a day.

It is agreeable both to right reafon, and to the principles, as well as examples of revelation, to take fome notice of an event of fuch folemn importance : but as men, and, in a fpecial manner as chriftians, let us be governed, in our public rejoicings by the dittates of reafon, and the fober maxims of religion. Let us remember that our liberties and privileges, civil and facred, are the gift of God, to be improved for his glory, and our own and our fellow creatures' happinefs; left by making them an occafion of licentioufnefs, we hazard their lofs.

Though no human fyftem of government, or civil polity, can be juftly termed fo perfect as to be incapable of improvement, yet, by comparing her lot with that of other nations, America, if the duly appreciates and improves her advantages, may be juftly pronounced to be the happieft people on earth. While almoft every other fyftem of government now in the world, has come into operation as a fruit of either accident or force, our American conftitutions, have been the refult of the free, unawed deliberations of our mon enlightened citizens, who had the opportu-
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nity of profiting by all the light derived from the experience of paft ages; and they have come into operation by the voice of the people themfelves. We have, in general, been favored with peace in our borders, with a plenty and even abundance of the neceffaries and conveniences of life, with as great a degree of health as ufually falls to the lot of dying men in a dying world, and with, probably, as much perfonal liberty as is confiftent with impofing a due reftraint on thofe unruly paffions, which, if permitted to rage without controul, would turn the world into a bedlam, and render every man, every man's foe.

A brief iketch of fome of the difficulties furmounted, in order to the eftablifhment of our independence, the dangers with which it is fill threatened, and the means of avoiding them, fhall now folicit your attention.

More than a fimple declaration upon paper was requifite to procure us the bleffing. It was not obtained till after a long, arduous, and perfevering confiit, and after expending much blood and treafure. When we reflect upon the various circumftances of difcouragement, which darkened our profpect at the beginning of the ftruggle, it is truly wonderful, and fomething which manifefts the operation of a divine invifible hand, directing our councils and animating our endeavours, that ever we had refolution fufficient to make the attempt. As a people we were then in our infancy. But little more than a century and a half had elapfed fince our forefathers, a few poor wandering pilgrims, in queft of a habitation where they might enjoy the bleffings of civil and religious liberty, firtt landed on our fhores, where they found nothing but a vaft howling wildernefs, inhabited only by favage men and beatts of prey. We were without magazines of arms and other warlike ftores, or the immediate means of procuring any; without either treafures, experienced officers, or difciplined troops, and
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without heets to defend our extenfive fea coafts. We had at the fame time, to contend with one of the moft powerful and warlike nations of Europe, whofe flects gave law to the ocean, whofe refources for military enterprize were, in a manner inexhauftible, and whofe troops, fome of the moft valiant and beft difciplined on the globe, were headed by commanders diftinguifhed for their military talents and love of enterprize.

During the progrefs of the conflict, alfo, our affairs ofrentimes wore the moft unpromifing afpect, being reduced to fuch a low ebb, that, upon a retrofpect view, we cannot but wonder that we did not, in a fis of defpondency, give up the caufe, and throw ourfelves upon the mercy of the conqueror. Even before the clofe of the memorable 1776, which gave birch to our independence, we were, apparently, very near witheffing its exit. We have feen our refources exhaufted, and our feeble armies, almoft without pay or clothing, and many times but poorly fed, epon the point of difflution; but ftill we were fupported. The wafting of our fillds, the burning of our towns, the repeated naughter of our citizens, a valt expenfe of blood and treafure, together with the fometimes almoft unparalleled fufferings of our caprive brethren, in prifons, and in prifon-fhips, were fo many items in the purchafe of that bleffing of independence which we are this day aftembled to celebrate. Had not the memorable declaration of 1,76 been followed with perfevering exertions, which were in the end crowned with fuccefs, it would have been regarded as the momentary phrenzy of rebels, meriing the fevereft chaftifement, and our country at large would have been fubjected to the moft humiliating vaffalage. Many of my hearers ftill remember the various circumftances of this momentous conflict. It is proper that thofe who have fince come on the flage of action fhould be made acquated with them. Patents
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fhould inform their children, and they again another generation, of the wonderful works of God.

Ir is readily admitted that God was the vifible king of the Jewifh nation, in a manner fomewhat dif. ferent from the ufual method in which he rules over other nations; yet there are many things in the hittory of that ancient chofen people, fubftantially applicable to thefe American States. Does the infpired Lawgiver of the Jews exclaim in the language of pious gratitude, faying, "Happy art thou O lfrael, who is like unto thee, O people faved of the Lord, the fhield of thine help, and the fword of thine excellency ; and thine enemies hall be found liars unto thee, and thou fhalt tread upon their high places." So God has frequently interpofed on our behalf, and been our falvation when our power was gone, and there was none thut up or left. And as God whenever he was about to do any great work for his ancient people, always raifed up and qualified fuitable inftruments to carry it on ; (fuch inftruments were Mofes and Aaron, Jofhua, Gideon, David, Solomon, Hezekiah, \&c.) fo we have had both Patriots, Statefmen and Warriors, men equally diftinguifhed in the cabinet and the field, who have received their endowments from on high ; to whom, while they fat in judgment, God has been a fpirit of judgment, as well as Atrength while turning the batcle to the gates. Such diftinguifhed characters have, tho in fomewhat of a different manner, been as really fitted by heaven for their refpective ftations, as thefe worthies of old. Who but an infidel does not fee the hand of heaven, in raifing up and qualifying a WASHINGTON for the feveral important ftations he fo ably filled? A character jufly confidered as firtt in war, firft in peace, and firft in the hearts of his countrymen; a name which will ftand unrivalled in the annals of honeft fame, when the names of his calumniators, fhall be, either buried in oblivion, or reB
membered only as objects of contempt and execration But fhould I attempt fo much as barely to mention the names of an hoft of living and dead worthies, wha have filled their refpective flations, with dignity and honor to themfelves, as weil as to the fingular advantage of their country, I fhould tire your patience. They were however only inftruments, juft fuch as God was pleafed to make them, and to his name be the praite and glory.

But even after an eight years' war had terminated in the reftoration of the bleffings of peace, we found our independence fo far from being placed upon a fecure and permanent bafis, as to be little better than merely nominal. It is not to be wondered that Americans fhould, at the clofe of fuch a long and defolating calamity, highly appreciate thofe liberties and privileges which had coft them fo dear, and be filled with a dread of that arbitrary power they had fo natrowly efcaped, and be for that reafon difpofed to delegate authority with a fparing hand. Their principal concern was to guard againft all poffible abufes of delegated power by a multiplicity of checks, without being duly fenfible that government might thereby become ufelefs, by having its operation fo clogged as to render it deftitute of fufficient energy to afford protection. Being hitherto unpractifed in the managing of national affairs, they were, accordingly, till taught by experience, in a meafure infenfible of the necefficy of a ftable eneigetic national government, in order to concentrate their national refources, and direct them to the prefervation of their dear bought liberties: The United States were therefore without any proper national fyftem.

The old confederation, tho' but the mere fhadow of a national conftitution, was found to be, in fome meafure, adequate to national purpofes in a time of war, fo long as the feveral ftates were held together by a fenfe of common danger, bur that danger being
paft, this feeble bond was found by experience, to be wholly unequal to the management of our national affairs in time of peace. Our commerce being unprotected by any general fyltem of legifation, was, for that reafon, in a languifhing condition-both public and private credit were greatly impaired-our national debt, the price of our Independence, was in no hopeful train of diminution, but rather in the way to accumulate, until it fhould be finally annihilated by a national bankruptcy and public convulfion-a circulating medium had in a great meafure vanithed, to that debss contracted during, and about the clofe of the war could not be difcharged, and taxes neceffarily high on account of the circumftances of the times, could not be paid, while our produce lay rotting in granaries for the want of fufficient encouragement to carry it to market. The natural confequences of thefe various circumftances were a multiplication of fuits, and an abundance of private diftrefs. From a miftake of their real caufe, thefe things were followed with uneafinefs, difontent, and infurrection.

While our country laboured under this preffure of embarraffments, fome of our more enlightened patriots and ftatefmen, difcerning the true caufe of our difficulties, clearly fuw the neceffiry of a more energetic national fyltem, and accordingly made that attempt by which our prefent federal conftitution was formed. From that extreme jealoufy which is ever watchful againft encroachments on our liberties, and which, when not carried to excefs, is freedom's beft guardian, it required the utmoft addrefs to eftablifh and carry this fyytem into operation. Its beneficial effects are the beft comments on its excellency. Tho' it had, from its infancy, to combat with a formidable internal oppofition, and was brought into operation juft at the commencement of a European war, unparalleled in the annals of civilized nations for inftances of wanton cruelty, extenfive carnage, and the en-
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tire deftruction of all ancient inftitutions; and though we have been frequently in danger of being ingulphed in the tremendous vortex of European politics, yet, with a WASHINGTON at helm, we fafely out-rode the ftorm. In the courfe of a few years we have feen trade revived, public and private credit and confidence reftored, our national character retrieved, both at home and in the view of foreign nations, and a fyftem of national finance and revenue eftablifhed, fufficiently productive to afford not only an ample fupply for the ordinary exigencies of government, but a furplus equal to pay the intereft, and effect a gradual extinction of the principal of our national debt, while taxes have been leffened and public burthens of every kind greatly lightened. We have feen our merchandize wafred into all parts of the globe, in our own veffels, navigated by our own feamen - the balance of trade tormerly greatly againtt us, turned in our favour, and fuch an advancement of profperity and population, as hardly admits of a parallel ; and our people, in general, eafy and contented, or at leaft, without any real caufe of complaint.

When our national conftitution was in the full tide of fuccefsful operation, and not fooner, America might be faid fully to enjoy the bleffings of her Inde-pendence.-From this brief fketch of the complicated difficulties happily furmounted, which ftood in the way of our becoming an independent nation, as well as of the various fteps by which we have arrived at that height of public and private profperity, enjoyed under the operation of our national conftitution, the pious mind will be naturally led to confider the whole as the work of God. God has, with a clear and diftinct voice, fpoken concerning our nation, to plant and to build it up. But there is a counter part to the declaration of infpired truth. He frequently alfo fpeaks concerning kingdoms and nations, to pluck up, to pull down, and to deftroy, by either permitting a
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fpirit of infatuation to poffefs their councils, or by giving children to be cheir princes, and ftrangers to rule over them.

Haying briefly traced the beneficent hand of Providence, which has been manifefted in raifing the United Sates to their prefent rank among the nations of the world, our obfervations will now be directed, to note fome of the dangers which threaten our national profperity and happinefs, and which, unlefs happily avoided, may pruftrate our national Independence.

And even upon a firft view, is it not obvious, that our political happinefs, and even our Independence as a nation, is, or may be endangered by the prevalence of vice and irreligion? No mathematical axiom admits of a clearer and fuller demonftration than this obfervation of the wife man, that, "Righteoufnefs exalteth a nation, but fin is a reproach to any people." Thofe ancient Empires of Affyria, Babylon, Greece, and Rome, which appeared to be fo firmly eftablifhed that no power merely human could thake them, toon crumbled to pieces under the weight of their vices. Should vice and irreligion univerfally, or even generally prevail in the United States, will not our fituation become comparatively more critical and dangerous, as we poffefs unfpeakably greater advantages, both for o training the knowledge of our duty and for promoting the improvement of virtue? Nothing is plainer to thefe who believe that God the fupreme arbiter of the fates of kingdoms and nations, will punifh the guilty, than that prevalent national vices, efpecially irreligion, deftroy the happinefs, and endanger the Independence of a nation, by provoking the righteous vengeance of heaven.

Whatever the objections are which are made againft either the religious, or moral fyttem of the prefent chief magiftrate of the United States, which, whether well or ill founded, as I, probably have not fufficient light to determine, I fhall not in this place
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fpend time to enquire: this one fentiment from his pen, mult meet the approbation of all the friends of religion and virtue. "Can the liberties of a nation be thought fecure, when we have removed their only firm bafis, a conviction in the minds of the people, that thefe liberties are the gift of God, that they are not to be violated, but with his wrath; I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is juft, and that his juftice cannot fleep forever." Befides provoking the wrath of heaven, vice and irreligion have a natural tendency to deftroy the profperity and happinefs of a nation, by loofening or diffolving the bonds of civil fociety-weakening or eradicating all the focial virtues, and that lenfe of mutual obligation which connects man to man, and by erafing from the minds of men, a fenfe of the facred obligations of an oath. At leaft a nation becoming univerfally corrupt, can be governed only by the ftrong hand of force. No liberty can exit among them, unlefs it be the liberty of mutual deftruction; and morality cannot exift without religion.

It is true our modern philofophic reformers, who have been pleading fo ftrongly for the perfectibility of human nature, have, many of them, pretended to be great admirers of morality in the abftract. While they have ufed the moft unwearied endeavours to difcard religion, and fet afide the obligations of the diwine law, and all confiderations drawn from the belief of a future flate of retribution, they have pretended to introduce a more pure, fublime, and refined morality, under the ${ }^{2}$ fantaftic notion of practifing virtue for its own fake. But what is the virtue or morality they wifh to introduce? Is it either more or lefs than the deftruction of all religion and morals? Follow nature, take nature for your guide, is their leading maxim; i. e. refign yourfelves up to the uncontrouled dominion of your appetites and paffions. Not only piety towards God, but juftice, humanity, temperance, chatity, and benevolence, as well the exercife of all
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thofe natural and focial affections, which refult from the mutual relations of parents and children, hufbands and wives, or brethren and fifters, are difcarded from the lift of virtues, and ranked among the weakneffes and prejudices of human nature, which it is the bufinefs of their fublime, and refined fyitem of Philotophy to eradicate. Tho' great pretences to the inculcation and practice of benevolence, are made by thefe reformers, yer it is of that vifionary impracticable kind, which, while it, profeffedly, embraces the whole human race in the arms of affection, confiders individuals as of no confequence, and even fcatters about fire-brands, arrows, and death in fport, for their deftruction. A Marat and a Robepierre, with their fellows, were among the higheft pretenders to this kind of benevolence. Their oftenfible object was to emancipate the whole human race, while they were butchering their fellow creatures, by hundreds and thoufands, with as little remorfe as men tread upon a worm, and would have facrificed whole kingdoms at a ftroke, to their luft of dominion, and infatiate thirft for blood. Were fuch principles fo far to prevail as to be introduced into univerfal practice, they would turn this world into a Tophet, a mere Pandemonium, a fit habitation for the fiends of Hell, rather than human beings.

That repeated and unwearied attempts have been made in Europe, to demoralize, and unchriftianize the world, and that the plan has extended its infuence to the United States of A merica, is a fact fo abundantly attefted, that it requires the effrontery of an $\operatorname{In}$ fidel to difpure or deny it. And, fo far as the practical effects of this fyitem prevail, they not only endanger the future happinefs of individuals, but our prefent happinefs and profperity, and even our liberty and independence as a nation. When perfons tainted with this demoralizing leaven, are entrufted with great power, and placed in ftations of high refponfibility, the danger increafes. "When the wicked bear rule the
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people mourn," is a maxim of unerring truth. Nations frequently derive their moral and religious complexions in a great meafure from their rulers: It will perhaps be objected by fome; that a people have no concern with their rulers; any farther than with their political talents; and of confequence they can have nothing to do with either their religion or morality. Even that chriftian charity which hopeth all things, is fometimes adduced as an argument why men of talents, though known enemies to chriftianity, ought impartially with its friends, to be confidered as candidates for offices of the bigheft truft and refponfibility in a chriftian country, if not for that very reafon preferred. But is this application of chriftian charity juft? What fecurity can a people have in the political integrity of a ruler, withour either religion or morals? Tho' it be the duty of chriftians to fubmit to the exifting civil authority, even fhould their lot be caft in a Pagan, Mahometan, or Infidel country, this can be no reafon why a free, and, profeffedly, a chriftian people, bleffed with an elective government, fhould, by their own voluntary fuffrages; raife known infidels to the chief direction of the affairs of government. Is it confiftent with a due regard to chriftianity, to inveft its enemies with a power which fhall place them in a favorable fituation to accomplifh its deftruction? Tho' a thorough infidel may make the moft plaufible pretenfions to philanthropy, and the moft unlimited countenate and toleration of religious opinions, he is at heart an enemy to the whole chriftian name, and is only waiting a favorable opportanity to accomplifh its deftruction. It is impofiible that as an Infidel, he fhould be or do otherwife. That great mafter and promoter of infidelity, Voltaire, filled the world with his fyren fongs about univerfal philanthropy, while Cruth the Wretch, meaning Chrift, was his watch-word among his comparions, and he could tell his confidential friends, that if the had an hundred thouland men at his difpofal, he

## $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[17}\end{array}\right]$

would know what to do. Danger from the prevalence of vice and irreligion has heretofore threatened us: Is it now paft ? Let us paufe and fee.

Such are alfo the circumftances of thefe United States-fuch their relative fituation with regard to the powers of Europe, that foreign influence and intrigue are fruitul fources of danger. We may naturally expect that while jealoufy and sivalhip exif between the feveral European powers, they will not be inattentive to the fituation of America. While one rival nation will endeavor to obtain an undue influence or control over our councils, another will ftrive to counteract it by an oppofite influence. We have heretofore tafted fome of the bitter fruits of fuch intrigues, and have reafin to dread them in future. But whatever control any foreign nation may obtain in thefe States, it will be improved in order to promote her own, and not their intereft. Whatever private benevolence there may be in the world, and there is, alas ! but little, public national benevolence is not to be expected. Juftice and good faith ought always to be prominent features in national proceedings, but national benevolence, or fuch a favor without an equivalent, as fhall lay a foundation for a juft claim upon national gratitude, but rarely exifts, if ever. The European nations to whofe intrigues the United States are moft expofed, are Great Britain and France. Thefe have long been the enemies and rivals of each other. Both are deeply interefted in rendering the United States fubfervient to their incereft. Our bufinefs, as Americans, is to manage our own national affairs, in our own way, unfwayed by any undue partialities, either for or againft the one or the other. If the time fhould ever come, which is an event neither impoffible, nor very improbable, when either a Britifh or a French faction fhall obtain an uncontrolable, or even an undue influence over our national councils, our liberties will be endangered, and our independence become lictle better than a name.
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Nay the time may not be far diftant when our fituation may refemble that of ill fated Poland, which, by becoming a prey to foreign influence and intrigue, was firft defolated by a civil war, then ftripped of fome of her faireft provinces, and finally, by the late partition, blotted out of the number of independent nations. Juft fo far as any undue partiality prevails, we may expect it to become fubfervient to a foreign intereft, and not our own.

Our danger in this refpect may be probably heightened, by the circumftance of our poffeffing an extenfive vacant territory, which is to be confidered as holding out an invitation to a vaft influx of foreigners to vifit our fhores, and fettle among us. Thefe, fome of them at leaft, may, upon good grounds, be fuppofed to bring, not only their foreign manners and habits, but their foreign prejudices and attachments alorg with them. It is by no means improbable that fome of them may add their weight to the fcale of foreign influence to control our councils. Foreigners of induftry, refpectability, and peaceable habits, ought always to be welcome to our fhores. They bring an acceffion to our wealth and nopulation, as well as promote our improvement in many ufful arts. While they are protected by the fame laws, and enjoy the fame advantages to acquire, poffefs, enjoy, and protect property, with our native citizens, they cannot reafonably complain, tho' a fyace of time fhould elapfe fufficient for them to get acquainted with our contticutions, and the nature and fpirit of our government, and to manifeft their attachment to the fame, before they become eligible to ftations of high truft and refponfibility. Many foreigners of this defcription have after fuch acquaintance has been obtained, ranked among our moft refpectable citizens, and to put any new obfacle in the way of their naturalization, would probably be highly impolitic. But many of a different defcription, have, from time to time, vifited our Thores. If they are not fagitives from juftice, they are fuch
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uneafy reftlefs firits as love to filh in muddy water. Having many of them been unhappily engaged in plots to overthrow the government of their own country, they bring their revolutionizing firit along with them, and are found to be no better qualified for the duties of peaceable citizens in this than in their native land. If in any inftance, we find fuch characters, almoft as foon as landed on our fhores, claiming, not barely all the rights of native citizens, but enliting themfelves as political champions, and afpiring to be the organs of the public will, and even manifefting a difpofition to model our civil code to their pleafure, when they. know but little more of either our conftitution, or the genius of our government, than the fhips which carried them over; and efpecially if we find them aided and abetted by others, of a fimilar revolutionizing fpirit among ourfelves, of whom our country contains fufficient fore, their influence is dangerous, and may, in due time, become fatal to our peace and independence; even equally deftructive with the Trojan horfe of old. I confets that for myfelf I cannor but feel for my country, as being in a fituation which is truly degrading, from the confideration that no native American citizen could be found fuitably qualified to hold the purfe-ftrings of the nation, but that our treafury department, one of the moft important in the United States, mult be under the direction of a foreigner, one who has been, perhaps, juftly reprefented as a fugitive from his own country, and as a fomenter of infurrection in this.

In glancing at our foreign dangers, I may not pafs over in filence, the ceffion of Louifiana to the French, a meafure which promifes to give us an uneafy, reftlefs, intriguing, and encroaching neighbour at our doors; and the occlufion of the porit of NewOrleans, a meafure evidently connected with the ceffion, and one whereby our Weftern territory is excluded from the only paffage communicating with the ocean, contrary to an exprefs ftipulation in cur treaty with
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Spain. Whether our Government has purfued that manly dignified line of conduct, which became the tupreme authority of a free and independent nation, or whether it has not betrayed fuch a degree of indecifion, pufillanimity, and imbecility, as is calculated only to invite injury and infult, I hall not pretend to decide in this place. In favour of the fyftem adopted it is urged, that the immediate objects to be purfued by thefe States are peace, agriculture, and commerce, and that a different line of conduct would, probably, have led to a war, which in our prefent fituation is above all things to be dreaded, and by all poffible means to be avoided, and that by proving to the world our moderation, our juftice, and our love of peace to other nations, we will conciliate their friendihip, and difpofe them to liften to reafonable and equitable terms for the fettlement of all matters in difpute, thereby manifetting a fimilar difpofition in return. It is readily granted that peace, upon equal terms, is one of the greateft of earthly bleffings, and war a moft diftreffing calamity, to be, by every confiftent mean avoided. But fuch a peace as is worth poffeffing, a peace confiftent with public liberty, or with national honour and independence, cannot, in the prefent exifting ftate of things, be long maintained, or commerce either promoted or protected, unlefs a nation is fuitably prepared to repel injury and infult. When in a fituation to vindicate her injured rights, and repel force by force, a nation is then in the beft capacity to negociate with fuccefs. The pious believer of chriftianity, who has an unThaken confidence in the accomplifhment of feripture prophecy, will no doubt look forward with pleafing anticipation to that happy period when peace among the feveral nations of the world fhall univerfally prevail, when men hall beat their fwords into ploughfhares, and their fpears into pruning hooks, when nation fhall no more rife againft nation, nor kingdom againft kingdom, neither fhall they learn war any more; when even the brute creation thall be at peace, when

## [ 21 ]

the wolf and the lamb, forgetting the antipathy of their reipective natures, hall lie down together, and the lion thall eat ftraw as the ox, and they fhall neither hurt nor deftroy in God's holy mountain. Happy they who fhall live in that day! When that time comes, as there will be no difpofition to aggrefs, fo there will be no need of any preparation to repel. Bur, in the prefent exifting fate of things, the fureft way for a nation to fecure and enjoy the bleffings of peace, is to be in a fituation to repel infult, and avenge injury. This method was, during the former adminiftration twice practiced with fuccefs. What will, in the prefent inflance, be the iffue of a negociation backed with no fimilar preparations, remains yet to be difcovēred. God grant it may be profperous.

IT is true we have been lately informed that our negociation is in the moft profperous train, as our Envoy extraordinary has been received and treated with the moft marked attention, and the moft flattering affurances of a friendly difpofition on the part of France, have been given by the Firft Conful, in anfwer to a communication from our Ambaffador: I wifh, however, that flattering may not, in this inftance, prove to be only another term for deceifful and infidious, intending thereby to luil us into fecurity, till the critical moment be paft. The prefenc hoftile atticude of Great Britain, doubtlefs thews this to be not the moft proper time to protecute a fyftem of encroachment upon A merica with vigor, and two millions of dollars for fecret fervices, probably to be difpofed of under the direction of our Envoy exeraordinary, Munroe, and which may ferve for a handfome doceur to the French miniftry, may well be fuppofed to purchafe a fore of civility at leaft ; of which valuable commodiry it ap. pears that our refident A mbaffador has received but a moderate thare heretofore. And it appears that notwithflanding all the affurances of a triendly difpofition on the part of France, and the preeended difavowal of the proceedings of the Intendant of New-Orleans, on
the part of Spain, and notwithitanding the foothing, oily, and hand-kiffing letter of the Spanifh Ambaffador, and the affectionate intereft taken in our affairs by the French Conful, citizen Pichon, nothing more than civility has, as yet been received. According to the lateft accounts, the Intendant continues firm in his purpofe, the port of New-Orleans continues fhut, and the risht of depofit denied. It requires a degree of credulity, bordering on abfolute lunacy, to believe a thing fo incredible as, that the Spanifh Intendant fhould, not only without any orders from his court, but contrary to orders, perfift in fuch an obnoxious meafure.*

Nothing can be more terrible to a free and independent nation than the arms of France, unlefs we except her diplomatic fkill, her infidious intrigues, carried on under the fpecious appearance of friendifip. More nations have been ftripped of their liberties, and reduced to a flate of vaffalage by the latter than the former. Nay her profeffions of friendfhip have been the ufual forerunners of conqueft by the fword. We have feen Holland, Switzerland, Sardinia, Belgium, and Italy, fucceffively ftripped of every thing but nominal fovereignty, drained of their wealth and refources, and reduced to the moft abject vaffalage, by the fraternal embraces of the Great Nation, and Spain, once the proudeft Mona:chy in Europe, fo effectually paralized
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and humbled, that the haughty Caftilian dares fcarcely whifper in his clofet, any thing which may give porfible umbrage to the Firft Conful. Even when the principal towns in Holland and Switzerland are garrifoned by French troops, to overawe the enllaved inhabitants into the moft implicit fubmiffion, their ears are filled, and their underftandings infulted; with the warmeft profeffions of friendhip. Thefe things may ferve as a fample of what America may, one day expect. When about to fleece or ftrip any nation, her words have ever been fmoother than oil. Should France but once get a firm footing in Louifiana, the will then poffers peculiar advantages to intrigue with fuccefs, and will probably fet up a claim which will cover more than one half of the territory of the United States, a claim of which the has never loft fight fince the peace of 1763 ; nor has fhe forgoten her difappointment in not obtaining it at the clofe of our revolutionary war. Shơuld fhe then be difpofed to improve all the advantages derived from her fituation, (and we have no reafon to think that fuch a difpofition will be wanting,) fhould our government continue to adopt fuch feeble unenergetic meafures as are calculated to involve us in war by a mere dread of its approach, as well as to paralize all the advantages derived from our diftance, and our extenfive internal refources, it will, molt probably cherifh in her the expectation of making an eafy, bloodlefs conqueft, and thereby adding the United States, at leaft our immenfe weftern territory to the already overgrown empire of the Gauls, and of rendering the Atlantic States fubfervient only to her national aggrandizement. But I fhall, on this fubject, wander no farther into the regions of conjecture. God grant that our fears may, in the end, prove to be without foundation.

In noticing the dangers to which our national independence is expofed, we cannot omit to mention our divifions, and the prevalence of a party firit among us, as exhibiting a lowering afpect. United we
ftand, divided we fall, is a good maxim for A merica, whether we apply it to a divifion or feparation between the feveral States, by a diffolution of our national fyftem, or to that firit of party virulence which feparates us as individuals. The latter becomes, in a fpecial manner, dangerous, when parties are pretry equally balanced, and the conteft is purfued with a great degree of acrimony and rancour. In fuch difputes all regard for the public welfare is, many times, abforbed by party zeal. Frequent inflances might be felected from hiftory, in which during the paroxifms of party rage, contending factions have rather chofen to fubject their country to a foreign yoke, than fo far to fubdue their party attachments; as to unite with an oppofire faction, in any meafure for the good of their country.

I cannot fully fubfcribe to the following maxim of that great Statefinan and Patriot, Montefquieu, that, "The liberries of a nation are never in danger, till all are united." Tho' a certain degree of difference of opinion may exift without public harm or danger, and probably always will exift in a free country, where the principal affairs of government are laid open to public difcuffion, yet union in all important meafures, is undoubtedly the ftrength and fupport of a nation, efpecially of fuch a nation as ours, where fo much depends upon public opinion.

Ever fince the organization of our national govermment, under the federal conftitution, and probably for a confiderable time before, a great degree of party fpirit has prevailed in the United States. Since that time the breach has become more extenfive and alarming, and party feelings have affumed a greater degree of afperity; and fome promifing reafons for allaying the public heats, have been loft by the alledged intemperance of the dominant party, which is faid to have cherifhed a firit of political perfecution and intolerance, as detpotic as wicked. I feel incompetent to a full difcufion of the merits of every point in difpute
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between the principal contending parties, nor is the prefent a time when fuch difcufion can take place. In the courfe of events, we have feen thofe diftinguifhed by the name of Federalifts, oufted from the adminiftration of the gevernment, and their places generally fupplied by their political opponents. I had amolt faid, we have feen the natural friends of our national conflitution, thofe who acted the principal part in bringing it into exiftence, and who directed its operations for twelve years with fuccefs, under the aufpices of WASHINGTON and ADAMS, give place to thofe, many of whom had from its commencement been among its warmeft oppofers. Whether this change in the adminiftration will be an advantage or difadvantage to us as a nation, muft be determined by time. The rapid advancement of the United States, both in wealth and population, during the former adminiftuation has been already noticed. Whether that progrefs will be accelerated or retarded by the change which has taken place, muft be finally difcovered by the event. We have allo feen a great number of difmiffals from office, merely for the fake of political opinions, and many charges made againft the laft adminitration both before and fince their fucceffors came into power. Thefe charges have been retorted, and mutual criminations, and recriminations have taken place, and party feelings, doubtlefs in many inftances, more embittered.

Among the numerous charges fo liberally be ftowed upon the laft adminiftration, thofe of an intention to deftroy the liberties of the people, by introducing Monarchical government, and of a want of economy, or a wanton dilapidation of the public treafures, have ftood in front. Perhaps none have been either fo frequently repeated or made fuch deep impreffions on the public mind. How often have the Monarchical principles of John Adams and his adherents, and the peculations of Pickering, Woolcot
and Co . as well as the public deflaulting of others, been trumpeted from one end of the continent to the other? Many particular charges, reducible under one or other of thife general heads, have, upon a thorough inveftigation, been thewn to be demonitrably falfe, and to be urged merely to ferve the defigns of a party. With refpect to the general charge of a defign in the federalifts to introduce a Monarchy, it appears to be fo fraught with abfurdity, as completely to deftroy itfelf. In a country fo widely extended as the United States, inhabited by people from different nations, and whofe educations, habits, manners and cuftoms are fo diverffied, as to exhibit nearly all that variety of charaeter which is to be found among civilized nations, there no doubt exifts a great diverfity of opinion, both on the theoretical, and practical parts of Government. Among this variety no doubt feveral individuals may abe found who would prefer a Monarchy, abftractly confidered. But the man who in the prefent fituation of the United States, can either wifh to introduce, or cberifh a hiope of introducing a Monarchy, muft be viewed rather as meriting a fituation in a mad houfe, than as a dangerous foe to republican liberty. In a country like this where there is fo great a degree of equality, that no individual can be found, fo much diftinguifhed either by birth, wealch, family connexions, or the place he holds in public opinion, to give him the remoteft pretenfions, and where probably not -lefs than ninety-nine to a hundred of the inhabitants are decided Republicans, (whatever variation there -may be in their ideas of the beft manner in which the republican fyftem may be organized and adminiftered) and where a large proportion of the people dread a Monarchy as the greateft of all earthly evils, and, where there are no privileged orders, no man in his fenfes, whatever may be his private opinion of the comparative excellence of this or that particular fyftem, would have either the hardinefs to make the attempt, or wifh that it fhould be made by another.
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I APPREHEND that our greatef danger of at length becoming a Monarchy, even one of the moft delpotic kind, does not arife from any fuppofed gradual encroachments of our conftituted authorities, which, 'tis probable, will be guarded againft with the moft forupulous vigilance ; but from fuch popular tumults as thall either weaken or deftroy them. This may, one time or another, fix a Monarchy upon us of the very worft complexion, When a people grow impatient of fubjection to their limited conftituted authorities, weary of the reftraint of laws, the moft neceffary and falutary, and fo abfolutely licentious as to grafp after fuch an impracticable degree of liberty as fets all good order and regular fubordination at defiance, they are in the high road to llavery. No doubt our country concains many enemies to all regular fubordination. Befides thofe of our own natural growth, the late tumultuous fcenes in Europe have already added to our ftore, and will, probably, furnifh us with an additional fupply in future. Should men of this defcription, either natives or aliens, fucceed in inflaming the popular paffions, to fuch a degree as to proftrate the conftituted authorities, (an event which may the kind interpofition of heaven prevent) it might be then eafy for fome popular demagogue, fome noify declaimer in favour of liberty, equality, and the rights of man, to take advantage of the ftorm, fo as to feize the fupreme power, and proftrate the liberties of America under his feet; fhould the United States ever experience fuch an unhappy reverfe, the time may, perhaps come, when the moft peaceable and beft difpofed part of our citizens, thofe who take no pleafure in the tempeftuous fcenes of revolution, may, however warmly attached to our republican conftiturions, in a fit of defpair, throw themfelves into the arms of a defpor, preferring a Monarchy, or any other ftable fyftem which will promife them fecurity, to the furms of revolution, the reign of anarchy, or the paroxifus of popular rage, and democratic fury.

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[88}\end{array}\right]$

Nothing is more common than to raife a loud cry in favor of liberty and the people, when no intereft is lefs at heart than theirs. Marat, Robefierre, and other infernal agents in the French revolution, were inceflant in their acclamations of The People, The People; while they were butchering this very people, by hundreds and thoufands under the guillotine. We can fearcely find a fingle inftance recorded in hiftory of one fucceisful ufurper, from Pericles at Athens, to Binaparte in France, but who on his firft fetting our, F ce:nded a more than ufual regard for the liberties of the people, and cbained his elevation by courting pipular applaufe. It I fee a man uncommonly earneft 1ii nis deciamations in favor of the people, and, on all occafions, affidueus by courting popular applaufe, elpeciaily, if he is peculiarly dogmatic in his affertions, remarkabiy impatient of cuntradiction, or fingularly overbearing in converfation, ciicumftances by no means uncommon, I cannot help thinking of an $A b j a$ lom faying, O that I were made Judge in the land, and even whifpering to myfelf that this man, though a fawning fycuphant in the purfuit of power, would moft probably prove a tyrant in the exercife of it. I alfo fometimes indulge a corjecture that he may be tyrannical in domeftic life, and that if ever this loud declaimer in favor of hiberty and the people, fhould obtain the elevation he feeks, he will, moft probably, take care of himfelf, and the people will be forgotten. In fuch conjectures as thefe, I fhould glady find myfelf to be more frequently miftaken. Une honeft patriot, who has fufficient courage and regard for the people to tell them the truch, and to vindicate public liberty by fupporting the conftituted authorities, tho' it thould be at the expenfe of his own popularity, is a character of more fterling value than an hundred fuch noify declaimers. If ever our free republican conftitutions are overthrown, and a Monarchy or tyranny eftablifhed, it is, I think, probable, in the prefent fituation of the United States, that it will be effected, rath-
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er by inflaming the popular paffions, and exciting to the purfuit of fuch an impracticable liberty as fers at defiance all good order and regular fubordination, than by any fuppofed gradual encroachments on the part of the confticuted authorities.

But as it is in the prefent exifting ftate of things, fcarcely credible, that either an individuator a number of individuals, hould be fo far infatuated with the love of Monarchy as to take any fteps defignedly aiming at its introduction, fo, that fuch a defign fhould originate, and be principally patronized in New-England, is, if pofible, a greater abfurdity. The inhabitants of New-England have been nurfed up in the love of liberty. They view it as their birth-right, and are almoft to a man attached to republican principles, and their manners are, in general, the moft republican of any people on the glabe. They are difpofed to delegate power, as well as the emoluments of office with a fparing hand, and to waich over the exercife of authority with the moft fcrupulous vigilance. They are alfo more generally enlightened than any other portion of the United Stares, and their elections are the moft regular, free, and uninfluenced. From the congeniality which is obfervable between NewEngland manners and republican principles, it is perhaps fomething more than mere conjecture, that if ever republicanifm thould give place to another form of government in the United States, it will draw its laft breath in New-England. That Virginia and the fouthern States, whofe manners, habits, and cuttoms, are, compared with thofe of New-England, fo many degrees removed from republicanifin, ihould be difpoled to preferve to the United States, and even reftore to New-England, that republican government which the latcer, in her zeal for Monarchy, is in danger of throwing away, is one of the moft extravagant whims that ever entered the brain of a crazy headed politician. In every view of the fuppofition it deftroys itfelf. But tho' it never can be ferrouny believed by
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men of underftanding, yet, fo far as it obtains credit, it is well calculated for another purpofe; particularly to give the State of Virginia that preponderating influence in the Union to which fhe afpires.

With refpect to the charge of a want of econo$m y$, or a wanton dilapidation of the public treafures, which bas been alfo a moft fruitful fource of crimination, my knowledge of the various juft and neceffary calls for national expenfe is neither fufficiently extenfive, nor have I adequate vouchers for the feveral items of actual expenditure, to warrant conclufions either for or againft their being in every inftance, Atrietly economical. Economy in expenditures, fo far as is confiftent with the public fervice, is undoubredly a virtue, particularly in a Republic, and perhaps there is fcarcely a topic which is calculated to make a more favoraple impreffion upon the public mind, than pretenfions of that nature, whether well or ill founded. This has not been neglected on the prefent occafion. The fuperior economy ufed by, and the great relief from public burdens obtained under the pretent adminiftration, have been among the common topics of the day. But with refpect to any grievous oppreifion under the laft adminiftration, as none fuch exifted, no great praife is, one would think, due for removing a burthen which was only imaginary.

But tho economy in public expenditures is, in general, commendable, yet it may be fometimes carried to an extreme. Nations as well as individuals, may be what is vulgarly called, penny wife and pound foolifh. Whenever an extreme parlimony paralizes thofe national energies which the circumftances of our country require to be called into exercife, it is falfe economy. Under the former adminiftration the calls for expenfe were undoubtedly urgent. Two infurrections were, fuccefively to be fuppreffed, an expenfive Indian war was to be maintained, and the difputes occafioned by the repeated aggreffions on our commerce, both by Great Britain and Erance, which were finally
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fettied by treaty, required expenfive preparations in order to their adjuftment. Previous to the commencement of the prefent adminiftration, many of the caufes of thefe extraordinary expenfes had ceafed to operate. The leffening of current expenfes was therefore not owing to any change in the adminittration, but to a variation of circumftances, and followed of courfe. The prefent adminiftration has, it is true, abolifhed the fyftem of internal revenue, and thereby faved the expenfe of collecting it. But as this was almoft wholly collected from articles of luxury, and paid by the affluent, it can, with no degree of propriety, be faid to relieve the people at large of any burden. If the body of our citizens were pieviouny burthened, they are fo ftill. The emoluments of the officers of government were a fruiful fource of complaint and crimination under the former adminiftration, but I don't find that thefe have been in any inflance leffened, tho' in feveral they have been enlarged. With refpect to fome other reductions of expenfe, particularly what relates to the prefent fituation of our thips of war, time mult determine whether they are politic or otherwife.

But alcho' pretences to.economical favings, have, during the prefent adminiftration, been carried very high, yet in forme inftances of expenditure, the juftice of the claim is, to fay the leaft, but very dubious. Thirty-two thoufand dollars unneceffarily lavifhed on the repairs of the French frigate Berceau; twenty thoufand dollars, or nearly that fum, expended on Daworn's miffion to France in a national fhip, merely to carry a treaty which he was not to pretent, and which might have been, with equal facility, tranfmitted to the refiding Ambaffador, by whom it was to be prefented, in a merchant's fhip free of expenfe ; two millions of dollars, placed under the direction of the Prefident, for fecret fervice money, and upwards of one hundred and fourteen thoufand dollars of the fum appropriated by law for the difcharge of our na-
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tional debt, wholly unaccounted for ; befdes an intmenfe fum, if 1 mitake not, between two and three millions of dollars accounted for in the grots, as being in the hands of agents unapplied, agents none knows who, and to be applied for no one knows what purpofe, are ar leaft, very queftionable traits in an economical fyftem.

With refpect to the two millions of dollars for fecret fervice money, to be appropriated under the direction of the Prefident, the alarm which was circulated from Maine to Georgia, when, in a time of public danger, twenty thoufand dollars, jut the hundredth part of the prefent fum, were placed under the direction of the late Piffident in the fame way, is not yet forgotten. Of this fum he expended, if I miftake not, fifty dollars. We hope the prefent depofit will be found lodged in as fafe hands.

During the laft adminiftration we were, alfo, frequently warned of the danger, and alarmed with the progrefs of executive patronage, as tending to concentrate the powers of government too much into a fingle hand, and lead to Monarchy. We have been alfo frequently told that a republican government ought to have no fecrets, but every tranfaction flould be laid open to the view of the public. When, on particular occafions, confidential communications from the Prefident required fecrecy, it was reprefented as wearing an alarming afpect, and as a certain index of approaching Monarchy. But I do not find that, during the prefent adminiftration, executive patronage has been in any inftance leffened, tho' in fome cafes it has been enlarged, particularly by vefting the appointment of the Commiffioners of Bankruptcy in the Prefident. And with reipect to fecrecy, it is probable that during the whole adminiftrations of Washington and AdAms there was not more bulnefs done in Congrefs with clofed doors, than during the fhore feffion of laft winter. Upon the whole, that the prefent adminiftration is, all things conflered, either more republi-
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can or more economical than the laft, is ftill problem* atical; we muft wait for farther proofs.

In connection with feveral obfervations already made in this difcourfe, I might take notice of dangers arifing from the corruption of the fources of information, and the confequent mineading of public opinion. Even fome of our privileges are fources of danger, not the privilege itfelf, but the abufe of it. Whatever may be their particular political tenets, the great body of our free independent citizens will be always difpofed to promote the true intereft of their country, fo far as their information is correct. Their intereft, fafety, and happinefs are connected with their country's welfare, what reafon can be given why they fhould not purfue it? But defigning individuals, who for felfifh purpofes rather choofe to inflame the paffions than inform the judgments of the public, frequently fucceed in milleading the unfufpicious multitude. No fo ready way to do this as by corrupting the fources of public information. By there public opinion will be always, in fome meafure, regulated. A free prefs when ably and virtuouly conducted, is a great bulwark of liberty, an excellent mean of conveying correct information to the public mind. But when conducted by men harboring fome dark defign, men of talents but without integrity, it is a privilege which is liable to be greatly abufed. We glory in this liberty as our birthright, but of its abule we may juftly complain. When the prefs fo far degenerates that, inftead of conveying correct information, its pages can anfwer no other purpole than to minead and corrupt, when it becomes the common vehicle of 隹der and abuif, and the grofeft falfehoods, even fuch as have been frequently and abundantly confuted, are boldly and impudendy repeated, till the very effrontery with which they are palmed upon the public, almoft forces belief: Then a free prefs becomes litcle better than a public curfe. It is impolible for a people either to form corrêt
opinions, or act right, while fubjected to fuch an undue influence. They may, by fuch means, be either involved in anarchy, or ftript of their libercies before they are aware. Confidence may be placed where it is unmerited, and men of the moft tried fidelity may be neglected or difcarded. A great degree of this evil prevails in the United States. Probably it is not confined to one political party. Its confequences have been already alarming, and where they will ftop, 'tis difficult to forefee. Unlefs the evil is checked it may prove fatal. But without a more general retuin to the paths of virtue, how hall the remedy be applied?

Many other things of lefs moment might have been noticed, but the patience of the audience muft be by this time exhaufted. The means of preferving our independence muft form a counter part to the dangers with which it is affailed. The anfwer to this part of the enquiry, fo far as I am capable of giving it, fhall be in a breath.

Let us cultivate the public and private virtues, thofe efpecially which have religion for their bafis. Let us venerate religious inftitutions, but in a fpecial manner, let us practice the duties which chriftianity enjoins, and cultivate the temper it is calculated to infpire, i. e. piety towards GoD, and benevolence to men. We are called a chriftian nation, let us be chriftians. We cannot be too much upon our guard againt the influence of irreligious and demoralizing principles. They will deftroy our focial and political happinefs in this world, and caft an awful gloom over the profpect of an hereafter. They will fap the foundations of murual confidence, loofen the bonds of fociety, and fit people to be ruled only with a rod of iron. In vain fhall we look for either political integrity in rulers, or a due fenfe of the importance of good order and regular fubordination among a people without religion and morals. And as government is effential to liberty, fo to preierve it, let us duly appreciate our confituted authorities, and reft fatisfied in
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chat temperate rational freedom which is fecured by our laws, and is agreeable to our civil conftitutions and the genius of our government, avoiding the purfuit of fuch unbounded impracticable liberty, or racher licentioufnefs, as is attainable only by the deftruction of good order and regular fubordination. By grafping at a fhadow a people may lofe the fubitance. Whenever a principle of infubordination becomes general, 'ris an almof infallible inlet to tyranny and oppreffion.

While we manifeft our attachment to good order and to the principles of government in general, 'tis of equal importance to cleave to our national conftitution in particular. This is the great bulwark of our political fecurity. Its operation has, under the fimiles of Providence, been the caufe of our unexampled profperity. This pillar may probably be aifailed. 'Tis likely the attack will be commenced by the infidious propofal of popular amendments. Thefe may be multiplied without end. While a multitude of projectors propofe to amend, each according to their refpective humor, the energy of the fyftem may be deftroyed. Of the beneficent effects of this conititution in its prefent form, we have had fufficient experience, let us beware of dangerous experiments. Great defects in the adminiftration may be remedied by time, and recourfe to the returning right of elections, fo long as the conftitution itfelf is unimpaired. But let that pillar be once undermined, and probably the entire fabric of our independence will be fhaken, if not deftroyed.

In our prefent fituation we will alfo ever frod it to be of importance to our peace and indepencence to be Americans, i. e. to attend to our own national atfairs, uninfluenced either by a predilection for, or a dillike to any other nation. Whenever our public national councils are influenced by fuch partialities, we may expect to be either controled or duped by the favored nation. Should either a Britif or French Exe-
tion obtain a preponderating influence in the meafures of our government, we are no longer independent, only in name.

As a free and independent government cannot be long fupported without the general diffufion of knowledge, let it be our ftudy to be well informed, both by culcivating the means of education in general, and by attending to correct political information in particular. Tho' it cannot be expected that the great body of our citizens can, individually, be thoroughly verfed in national policy, they may neverchelefs underfand its general principles. Tho' in the exitting ftate of things, they may be fometimes unable, immediately, to diftinguifh correct information from that which is calculated to minlead, yet if a loud cry of oppreffion is raif ed and often repeated, while no oppreffion is felt, it will afford to the people, efpecially the more difcerning, a ground to fufpect that an intereft different from theirs is fought. By repeatedly weighing information, and candidly comparing profeffions with facts, we may obtain the truth.

Let us place confidence where confidence is due, and withhold it from the undeferving. And while we aim at the good of our country as the ultimate object, let us difmils, as much as poffible, all party feelings and prejudices, aiming at union; and where a real difference in political opinion exifts, let us not cenfure with too great afperity, at leaft let us abftain from perfonal reflections. If our party attachments could but univerfally give place to a fincere undiffembled regard for the good of our councry, much political heat and intolerance might be avoided, and fo much union as is neceffary to the common good be obtained. As freemen we ought alfo to be efpecially attentive to that branch of government in a due attendance upon which, every man is in a meafure independent of every man. I mean the right of elections. In the exercife of this privilege, every man ought to fupporr his independence. Let it be our ftudy to felect for places of pub-

## [ 37 ]

lic truft, men of talents, integrity, public and private virtue, and religion.

By reducing thefe, and fimilar piain maxims to practice, our liberties and our free republican conftitutions may be, under the fmiles of indulgent heaven, tranfinitted unimpaired to pofterity. But, on the other hand, if vice and irreligion, with their concomitants, luxuty, extravagance, and̀ difipation, fhould continue to gain ground, fo as at laft to become general, and if, in connection with out vices, we are either led aftray by defigning demagogues, or fwayed by foreign influence and intrigue, or fuffer a factious difpofition or party firit to abforb all remaining regard for the public good, or if we neglect our elections, or in any other way become ripe for an event fo difaftrous, the cloud which has, for fome time, been gathering and hovering over our heads, may burft wilh fuch an electric fhock, as fhall fubject us either to fome foreign invader, or domettic ufurper, who will demolifh the fair fabric of American independence, procured at fo great expenfe of blood and treafure, and forge chains for our councry from which generations yet unborn fhall not be able to obtain emancipation. To help on fuch an event when we fhall become ripe for it, a Cefar, a Cromzell, or a Boraparte, will not be wanting. Nay it is not impoffible but the mafter of the future deftinies of America, may at this moment be lurking undilcovered in fome corner of the United States, exciting no more fufpicion of any defign againft the liberties of his country, than were at the beginning of their revolution entertained by the French nation that a young Corfican adventurer, a foldier of fortune, Thould in the courfe of a few years, have fufficient addrefs to eflabliih and place himfelf at the head of a fyftem of defpotifm, as inimical to perfonal liberty and republicanifin as the ancient Monarchy which had coft them fo many feenes of horror, and fuch an ocean of blood to abolih, and that the nation hould have recourfe to this as the feemingly only refuge from the
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effiects of that popular phrenzy, which, under the name of liberty and equality, had brought it to the verge of deftruction.

Though it be fomewhat remote from my ufual occupation in life, yet, as the prefent occafion feemed to call for it, I have ufed my feeble endeavors to act the part of a political watchman. I have folenen my fentiments with plainnefs, and fincerity. The picture I have drawn is, it is true, not in every feature flattering, but fuch as it appeared to me I have endeavored to reprefent it. Tho' our fituation be, in deveral refpects, critical and dangerous, we have as yet no reafon to defpair. Only let us act the parts which are in our refpective fituations, incumbent on us as men and free citizens, and any clouds which at this time darken the political horizon may be difpelled. Our national independence has been eminently the work of God, let us not forget our dependence upon him; he has frequently been our falvation heretofore, and, if we truft in him, we will yet find that he will not defert the work of his hands.


[^0]:    * At the time when this difcourfe was compofed, no tidings of the opening of the port of New-Orleans had arrived. If the intelligence is authentic, I fincerely rejoice. Still it can hardly be deemed fufficient fatisfaction for the wanton violation of a tolemn treaty, continued for fix or feven months, that the injury ceafes at the end of that period. Should even part of the incoherent intelligence about the ceffion of Louifiana prove to be fubftantially correct, it will no doubt be an important acquifition to the $U$. States, efpecially thould no attempt be made to encroach hereafter. Still four millions of dollars in part pay, does not found quite fo well as if we were acquainted with the fipulated price. Quere-Had our government acted with that becoming national fpiric breathed in Rofs's Refolutions, is it not probable that it might bave come cheaper?-Peace however is a great blefing.

