

THE WORLD'S CHINESE STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

BI-MONTHLY ILLUSTRATED.

Vol. I.

MARCH—JUNE, 1907.

Nos. 5—6

PUBLISHED BY

THE WORLD'S CHINESE STUDENTS' FEDERATION,
E. 562, BURKILL ROAD, SHANGHAI.

PRICE:—

REGISTERED AT THE I. P. O.
FOR TRANSMISSION IN CHINA.

REGULAR NO. \$0.50 A COPY.
DOUBLE NO. \$0.80 A COPY.

(ALL RIGHTS RESERVED).

Methodist Publishing House, Shanghai

IV

Digitized by Google

The World's Chinese Students' Journal

Vol. I.

March—June, 1907.

Nos. 5-6.

Contents

Editorials

FRONTISPICE—

ANTI-OPIUM CONFERENCE, IPOH, PERAK.

	PAGE
(1.) Editorials	1.
(2.) Education the Chief Factor in China's Enlightenment	12
(3.) The Confucian Doctrine of Brotherly Love	15
(4.) On Changing the Chinese Costume	19
(5.) China's Relation with the West	23
(6.) Educational Comments	28
(7.) As We See Ourselves (concluded)	39
(8.) Record of Important Chinese Events	42
(9.) The Yellow Man's Burden	45
(10.) The Place of Drama in Society	53
(11.) China's Two Thousand Years' Foreign Diplomacy	56
(12.) China's Attitude Towards the Triple Alliance of England, Japan, and Russia	60
(13.) The Microbe, or Some Interesting Facts about Unseen Life	62
(14.) The Beautiful West Lake and its Vicinity	67
(15.) The Body Politic and the Civil Service in China	71

THE WORLD'S CHINESE STUDENTS' JOURNAL, is an Illustrated Bi-monthly Magazine. It is published on the 1st day of every other month—six issues a year.

PRICE.—The subscription price is two dollars and fifty cents (Mex.) a year, payable in advance; fifty cents a single copy; postage extra.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS.—When a change of address is ordered, both the new and the old addresses must be given. The notice should be sent one month before the change is to take effect.

DISCONTINUANCES.—If a subscriber wishes his copy of The World's Chinese Students' Journal to be discontinued at the expiration of his subscription, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuance of the subscription is desired.

HOW TO REMIT.—Remittances should be sent to the Business Manager, Kao Long-foo, care of W. C. S. Federation, E 502, Burkill Road, Shanghai.

COMMUNICATIONS—(1) All communications relating to advertisements should be addressed to the Advertising Manager, Hsü Che-hsiang, 2432 Chengtu Road, Shanghai.

- (2) All matters relating to publications of articles and all correspondence to the Journal should be addressed to the Editors, care of W. C. S. F. Headquarters, Shanghai.
- (3) All literary communications must be written on one side of the paper only. While contributions are solicited, the Editors do not guarantee their publication and will not undertake to return manuscripts which are not accepted for publication.

The new Education **I**T was Sir Robert Hart, who said, when he overheard some one complain of the slowness with which China was moving, that once she began to move, she would do so with the speed and momentum of an avalanche, and to-day we see the fulfilment of his prophecy. Mr. Chester Holcombe, in his book "The Real Chinese Question," declared paradoxically that our people are at once the slowest and the most rapid: slow and conservative when they are urged to adopt some new system, the benefits of which they are not convinced and the advocates of which are not imbued with purely altruistic motives; but rapid, and often uncomfortably rapid, once they are persuaded of the advantages and have decided to adopt the change.

And so in matters relating to education, we have been both slow and rapid. The Tung Wên College, a government institution for the instruction of Western languages and sciences, was established in Peking as early as 1861, but throughout its whole existence of some thirty years, it was regarded more or less as an anomaly. The spirit of that slow age is well illustrated by an incident which Dr. Martin has recorded. The learned Doctor was giving his students some instruction in the use of the telegraph, and one day Prince Kung deputed four Chinese officials to witness the experiments. When the performance

The Yellow Man's Burden

BY ARTHUR JUDSON BROWN

Author of "New Forces in Old China" and "The New Era in the Philippines."

NOTE.—One of the objects of the W. C. S. Federation, and therefore, of the "Journal," is to try to remove or explain the misunderstanding existing between the Western countries and China, which, since the beginning of the intercourse between the Orient and Occident, has wrought a vast amount of mischief resulting in many cases in a dangerous strain of relations and on a few occasions in acts of open hostilities.

In pursuance of this object the "Journal" is always glad to review any article or treatise, preferably by a foreign author, on the relation between the East and the West, tending to the explanation of past misunderstandings or to the promotion of sincere friendship, and it will not be niggardly to accord them a space for reproduction, when it deems fit.

It is our great pleasure to mention that when some other papers stultified themselves by unjustly commenting or passing judgment on certain questions in the Far East, presumably out of self-interest, prejudice, or inability to comprehend the true significance of the subjects they were handling, the New York "Outlook" at a serene distance over the seas viewed them in their true proportions and gave utterances as best seen in its contributed articles on the Boycott against the American Goods by Mr. Chester Holcombe and the Shanghai Riot by Mr. George Kennan, much to the satisfaction of the English-reading public in China.

The article on The Yellow Man's Burden by the Rev. Dr. A. J. Brown has given additional credit to this much estimated paper. The facts marshalled and remarks made in the short treatise speak so elo-

quently for the experience, incisiveness, and fair-mindedness of the author that we cannot help according it a reproduction in the Journal in its entirety, the purpose of which our readers will not fail to recognize as our endeavour to remove or at least to mitigate the unpleasant feeling, popularly designated as the anti-foreign spirit, by showing to our Chinese readers on the one hand that there are foreigners who sympathize with us, and to our foreign readers on the other, that only the enlightened portion of the Chinese can discriminate against those "whom common fame," in Dr. Brown's words, "charges with conduct that is beneath the level of respectable heathenism," or those who "found the specific cure for their (Koreans here) pestiferous attentions to be administered best in the shape of a little vigorous kicking."

Anticipating the perusal of this interesting and instructive treatise by the reader, I venture to point out that some of the statements made by Dr. Brown corroborate with our opinion as to some of the causes of the friction between the foreigners and the Chinese as pointed out in an article styled "The Anti-foreign Feeling" in the third issue of the "Journal."

Nothing is more interesting and humorous than the author's description of the curiosity of the Korean women who "would crowd about my wife, feel of her dress, try to pull out her hairpins, and lift up the skirt to examine her shoes. . . . Nor was relief found when refuge was taken in an inn or a house, for the Koreans would peer in at every door and window. Locks and curtains were nothing, and if a blanket was hung up, eyes would

presently be seen around the edges or glued to a crack in the wall." This is nothing more, as Dr. Brown knows, than the curiosity of ignorant people on seeing some strangers. But Mr. Brown's tact and judgment might be questioned in his hanging up a blanket over the window, for it would serve only to heighten their curiosity, in the same way as the president of a college may order the locking up of the door of a hall while decoration is going on inside for a reception or a dramatic representation, with the result that some mischievous boys, because of the locking up of the door, stealthily approach it and peep through the keyhole to satisfy themselves whether some wonderful spectacle is being displayed in the hall. In contrast with the individualism of the West, where the people "have been bred to the idea that their house is their castle," the disrespect of personal privacy in the East is not only unpleasant but galling, but the author after a moment's reflection, will realize that this disrespect is a by-product of the Chinese governmental system.

The author also pointed out the difference in the scale of living as a factor contributing to widen the gulf between the Oriental and the Occidental. A low scale of living implies economy, a virtue almost daily inculcated by the illustrious sovereigns of China, and a virtue in many respects we should be proud of. But excessive economy in China has deprived the people, even of the rich class, of many proper enjoyments which are necessary to develop a perfect manhood, and this excessive economy has been justly pointed out by the Commissioners sent by the United States Government the year before last to the East to investigate the opium question as one of the principal causes which makes the Chinese abandon themselves reluctantly yet irresistibly to the dangerous drug. But cheap living does not mean an infringement upon other peoples' rights as the labour-unions in the west of the United States have viewed it.

Perhaps the most penetrating, though to some foreigners not a little piquant, remark is that concerning the religious question in the East, and it furnishes a clew to the explanation of the repeated anti-Christian disturbances in China. We sincerely agree with the author that the missionary body has done a vast amount of good work in China in establishing schools and founding hospitals, for which they fully deserve our gratitude, and we also agree with him that "it is Christianity's identification with the foreigner which arouses the Asiatic's suspicion. It comes as a religion of the white man who is despoiling him of his territory, overthrowing or menacing his national independence, upsetting all the economic conditions of his life, swaggering about his streets, robbing him of his goods, and insulting his women." The ignorant people once receiving some harsh treatment from a foreigner will by the process of generalization sweepingly and blindly invest any other with blue eyes and yellow hair" with the same conduct, and will not hesitate to use violence against him, if occasion favours.

We shall refrain from further commenting or rather affirming the statements in the valuable article, but we will assure the public that the Journal cannot have too many of such articles to review.—N.I. Nien.

Underlying the political problem of the Far East and affecting it more profoundly than is commonly supposed is the lack of personal sympathy between the Oriental and Occidental. The chasm is deep and wide, and no small skill is required to bridge it. There appears to be a natural prejudice between men of different races. The term Jew and Gentile, Greek and Barbarian, Roman and Enemy, testify to the age-old bitterness of this prejudice. Americans are prone to boast of their freedom from it, but their treatment of the negro, the Chinese, and more recently the Japanese in California, is painful evidence that they, too, are not exempt

from this common failing of humanity. Is it surprising that a race antipathy which characterizes even the most enlightened and supposedly Christian people of the earth should exist on the part of proud and self-centered Asiatics?

This natural and deep-lying prejudice has been greatly aggravated by the aggressions of the white races. The author has discussed these at length elsewhere,¹ and space does not permit the recital here. Suffice it that nearly one-half of Asia, ten-elevenths of Africa, and practically all of the Island world are under nominally Christian governments; while some other countries have come so far under Western influences as to be from this view-point under almost the same conditions. However much we may be gratified by the fact, the methods by which it was achieved cannot be read by any fair-minded man without a feeling of mingled shame and indignation.

Commercjally, too, the white man is ruthlessly aggressive. The products of the Western world are now to be found in almost every part of Asia and Africa. The old days of cheap living have passed away. The knowledge of modern inventions and of other foods and articles has created new wants, and an economic revolution of stupendous proportions is taking place.² Of this, too, the white man is considered the cause, and between the greed of some natives who hope to benefit by it and the resentment of others who are suffering from it, his position is one of increasing delicacy.

The resentment of the Asiatic is intensified by the conduct of many of the white men who seek his country. Traders and travelers have roamed through Asia and Africa for many years, and with the increasing facilities for intercommunication the number of these white men is rapidly

increasing. While there are notable exceptions, it is notorious that their character as a class is bad.

The common attitude of these foreigners toward the natives is illustrated by the author of a recent book on Korea. He informs us that when the Korean sellers of curios became importunate, he "found the specific cure for their pestiferous attentions to be administered best in the shape of a little vigorous kicking." A sorcerer, who was making noisy incantations to exorcise a devil, so aggravated him that, "losing my temper and my reason altogether, I dropped his gongs and cymbals down a well, depositing him in it after them." When the poor inhabitants of a poverty-stricken village declined to sell him their scanty stock of chickens, "the grooms, the servants, and the interpreter at once tackled the mob, laying about them with their whips, . . . and fowls and eggs were at once forthcoming."

The Siamese and Laos treat a foreigner with extreme courtesy, but it has not always been reciprocated. The "boy," as the Asiatic servant is universally called, whom we engaged in Bangkok for our trip through the northern jungles, unconsciously gave an illustration of the general conduct of white travelers in Siam. "Who is Master and what is he going to Laos for?" he was overheard asking before we started. "He is the father of all the missionaries in Laos," was a boatman's reply, "and is going to see them." Upon which the boy ejaculated in a tone of relief, "Oh, then he won't kick me and throw bottles at me!" And two weeks later he said to a friend, "Master must be a very holy man, for he hasn't beaten me or sworn at me at all! What a side-light upon the conduct of the average foreign traveler! As white men, we felt humiliated that such treatment of a servant as is everywhere taken for granted in America should in Siam be regarded as so exceptional, though it was pleasant to know that the very fact that one was connected

¹ "New Forces in Old China," Parts II. and III.

² For many facts on this subject see the author's article on Economic Changes in Asia, in the Century for March, 1904.

with the missionaries was deemed presumptive evidence that one was a gentleman. The incident is commended to the consideration of those critics who allege that the natives dislike the missionaries.

Almost every traveler in Siam exhausts his vocabulary in anathematizing the local magistrates because they do not immediately furnish him elephants and carriers. We know from experience how trying such delays are. At Utradit and Pre we lost valuable time on this account. At Paknampo we could not secure boatmen at all, and at Lakawn we should have been unable to get elephants if it had not been for the kindness of the agents of the British trading companies. And this though we had, in addition to a passport, a special letter of introduction from Prince Damrong, Minister of the Interior, directing all magistrates promptly to give us any assistance that we needed. Again we were ashamed when we found that some of the officials wondered that we did not curse them. They had evidently been accustomed to abuse in such circumstances.

But what were the facts? It was rice harvest, and all the men were in the fields. It was, moreover, just after the King's visit, the preparations for which had compelled men to neglect their own affairs for months. Would it have been reasonable for us to complain because it took several days to find the carriers we needed? As for elephants, each animal is owned by an individual who keeps it for his own use, and when he does not need it he hobbles it and turns it loose in the jungle. To secure an elephant for a traveler, therefore, means that an owner must be found, who is either able or willing to stop his own work or to send a man two or three days into the jungle to hunt up one. Suppose an Asiatic were to enter an American town and peremptorily order the Mayor to furnish him immediately four saddle-horses and thirty men as carriers. Suppose the Mayor were courteously to

reply, "It will be difficult for me to comply with your request, for it is harvest time and the men are all busy, while the only horses in town are kept by private individuals, who may need them themselves or who may not care to lend them to a stranger; but I shall have pleasure in doing the best I can." And suppose that, if the men and horses were not at once forthcoming, the Asiatic were to become insolent and abusive and threaten to have the Mayor severely punished. That is precisely what happens when the average foreigner travels in Asia. Only instead of kicking him out of doors, as an American official would do in such circumstances, the Oriental magistrate, knowing by bitter experience the trouble that the foreigner can make for him, meekly hastens to do his bidding, frequently being obliged to seize elephants needed by their owners, and to arrest men and forcibly compel them to leave their fields and families to bear heavy burdens for weary weeks under a hot sun. "Why shouldn't we hate the foreigners," they say—"those violent and angry men with white faces, who come from a country beyond the sea, who are always in a hurry, and who blaspheme their God as no Buddhist would ever dream of blaspheming his?"

Then the foreigner often offends the religious susceptibilities of the natives. He excites the anger of a priest by tapping a god with a cane. He rouses a Moslem to murderous fury by entering a mosque without removing his shoes. In Siam it is a standing grievance that travelers steal the images of Buddha from the temples. What would be thought of a visitor in a Christian land who should carry off a communion vessel as a souvenir? When the religious convictions of a Buddhist people forbid the taking of life, the foreigner who shoots pigeons from the trees of the temple precincts simply stamps himself as a ruffian in the estimation of the monks.

Nor is the tension relieved by what the Oriental learns in other ways of Europe and America. China, Japan, India, and Siam have a vernacular press which does not fail to publish with savage satisfaction lurid accounts of our mobs and murders and social, financial, and political scandals. The Asiatic has learned to respect the purity of life and the unselfish labors of the missionaries, but he now knows that multitudes in the lands from which the missionaries come repudiate Christianity and sneer at the effort to preach it to other peoples. Chinese gentlemen visit America and are treated with shameful indignity. The Asiatic travels through Europe and America and goes back to tell his countrymen of our intemperance, our lust of gold, our municipal corruption.

And now we no longer confront a cringing heathenism, but an aroused and militant Asia which has awakened to a new consciousness of unity and power. The Japanese victory over Russia has intensified this spirit, so that to-day not only Japan, but China, India, and Turkey are aflame with the spirit of resistance to the white man's domination. British rule in Egypt has been of incalculable benefit to the people, but the fanatical hatred of the Moslem for all Christians is so fierce as to make him forget all the blessings that the Englishman has brought to him. He feels no gratitude whatever, and is ready at any time to break out in blindly furious rebellion. In India practically the same feeling exists. Whether or not Great Britain has done all for India that she ought to have done, the fact remains that she has given India a peace and justice and security for life and property that the country had never known prior to British occupation. But the proud-spirited East Indian, even though he may admit these things, will nevertheless tell the traveler that he hates the Englishman. The reason is apparent; the Englishman is his conqueror. No people on earth like to be subjugated, and the attitude of the East

Indians toward the white man is the attitude of a haughty, sensitive race rankling under the wound to their dignity involved in the dominance of a handful of white men whose treatment at best is condescending, and at worst, and more commonly, is so contemptuous that a prominent hotel is forced to post the following notice: "Visitors will be good enough not to strike the servants; any complaints made against them will be attended to by the manager."

If we pass to China, we find that equally proud-spirited people chafing because foreigners occupy so many of their fine harbors, and because even in the capital itself, and almost under the shadow of the Palace of the Emperor, the Legations of Western nations are virtual fortifications, stored with provisions and munitions of war and garrisoned by foreign troops. The Roman Catholic priests add to this burden of hatred. They are not given to abusing the natives, but they so strongly espouse the cause of their converts in lawsuits and quarrels that often a magistrate dares not decide a case against a Catholic. Moreover, the priests so openly identify themselves with the political designs of their respected countries, usually, that officials and people alike fear as well as dislike them.

As travelers, traders, politicians, and priests combined greatly outnumber the Protestant missionaries, it will readily be seen that they, rather than the missionaries, fix the status of the foreigner in the public mind, and that they create against foreigners as a class an indiscriminate hostility that is exceedingly hard to overcome. The heart-rending consequences in China have been painfully evident. Even in Siam, one of the last countries in Asia in which opposition to foreigners would normally be expected, for the people are naturally kindly and easy-going, dislike of foreigners is steadily growing, and, after the illustrations we have given, the reader will hardly wonder. The occurrences of

the last few years have done much to increase this hostility all over Asia, and from present signs the coming years will do more.

Everywhere in the Far East, "Asia for the Asiatic" is now the cry, and we must reckon with it. The myriads of Asia have awakened to the fear that the white man means them harm. The gulf between the Oriental and the Occidental is therefore becoming wider and deeper. The words "foreigner" and "native" are not literally appropriate, for there is no such gulf between the Chinese and the Korean, or between the Hindu and the Malay. In most of the cities of Siam there is an amazing mixture of different peoples—Siamese, Cambodians, Annamese, Burmese, Chinese—but they blend, about as Germans, Irish, English and Scotch blend in an American city. The differences between them are infinitesimal as compared with those which separate the European and American from the Asiatic. The resultant condition must be frankly faced as a probably permanent and enlarging factor in our relation with the Far East.

Of all the foreigners in the Far East, the missionary is the one who most carefully adapts himself to the customs of the natives and who is animated by the strongest sympathy for them. But, unfortunately, the chasm between the foreigner and the native can never be wholly bridged even by the missionary. After he has shown all possible tact and made every practicable concession to the customs of the natives, the troublesome fact still remains that, as an intelligent native minister said to the author, "You are of another race. Your methods of living, your modes of thought and action, are not ours." Said a veteran missionary: "I know of no missionary who does not treat the natives kindly and who does not entertain a real love for the people. Our continuous aim has been to reach the heart. But I am much mistaken if there

is not a great gulf fixed between all of us and the native people, so that we do not come into their hearts and comprehend them, nor do they comprehend us."

A few illustrations will help to make this clear. Take the matter of scale of living. According to Mr. Bryan, the average annual income of a man in India is only \$10; in China it is less than \$50. It is simply impossible for the white man to descend to such physical conditions. He is the product of a totally different scale of living, and any attempt to descend to that of the native would simply wreck his health. Even when the Oriental is rich, his conceptions of comfort differ widely from ours, while of sanitation he knows nothing, except of course in Japan. The average school-boy in America sleeps in a better bedroom than the Emperor of China.

Then there is the question of personal privacy. The Anglo-Saxon values it, but the average Asiatic is comparatively indifferent to it. When we were traveling in Korea, our arrival at an interior village was the signal for almost the whole population to gather. The women, in particular, often neither clean nor free from vermin, would crowd about my wife, feel of her dress, try to pull out her hairpins, and lift up the edge of her skirt to examine her shoes, all the time keeping up a stream of questions and comments that excited the uproarious laughter of the crowd, which usually included men. Nor was relief found when refuge was taken in an inn or a house, for the Koreans would peer in at every door and window. Locks and curtains there were none, and if a blanket was hung up, eyes would presently be seen around the edges or glued to a crack in the wall. The people were good-natured and meant no offense, but most American women would hardly enjoy such curiosity. We do not forget that the street gamins and loafers of New York are apt to be insolently inquisitive when a strangely clad Oriental passes

through the streets. But a Korean lady in America would not be subjected to such an experience as we have described. At any rate, she could more easily escape from prying eyes. Europeans and Americans have been bred to the idea that their house is their castle. The missionary, far from his native land and surrounded by people of different customs, naturally values the privacy of his residence as the one bit of home in all the world that is left to him. But the natives are inquisitive, and they flock to his house in appalling numbers. They want to examine every part of it. With no idea of the value of time, they squat about it for hours, and if the owner objects they are bitterly offended. If he frequently has guests at his table, but confines his invitations to men of his own race, the natives think that they are discriminated against.

Then manner must be considered. The white man so instinctively feels that he is the lord of creation that it is hard for him, no matter how thoroughly Christian he may be, to get over the idea that men of a different color are his inferiors. Even well-meant kindness is apt to have an element of condescension in it. The missionary himself may not realize it, but the native is quick to detect it. The Anglo-Saxon is inclined to brusqueness. His tone is apt to be peremptory. He is always in a hurry. But the Oriental is polite, ceremonious, leisurely. He is very careful to respect "face," that mysterious but potent force in Asia. His manners more nearly resemble that extinct species, a gentleman of the old school.

The Oriental delights in exaggerations of the virtues of others, and in a correspondingly exaggerated depreciation of himself. It is said that a Chinese gentleman, wearing his finest gown of silk, called at a house where he happened to disturb a rat which was regaling itself out of a jar of oil standing on a beam over the door. In its sudden flight the rat upset the oil

over the luckless visitor, ruining his fine raiment. While he was still pale with rage, his host appeared, and, after the customary greetings, the visitor accounted for his appearance in this wise: "As I was entering your honorable dwelling I frightened your honorable rat. While it was trying to escape, it upset your honorable jar of oil over my poor and insignificant clothing. This explains the contemptible condition in which I find myself in your honorable presence." Could courtesy go further in trying to prevent the mortification of a host?

Probably a foreigner would hardly be equal to such a demand upon his politeness and self-control. But he will do well to familiarize himself with native customs and etiquette, and to be as tactful as possible in observing them. The ceremonies may appear absurd to him, but they are not absurd to the native, and the foreigner will only prejudice himself in native eyes by ignoring them. We know how we regard one in this country who tucks his napkin under his chin, eats with his knife, and drinks out of his saucer or finger-bowl. The Moslem has precisely the same opinion of a white man who enters a mosque without removing his shoes. The Chinese think no better of the American who promptly takes the seat farthest from the door, or who begins to drink his tea as soon as it is served. To look at a high Chinese official through glasses is to be wanting in proper respect, and the man who is forced to wear glasses all the time should be careful to explain, or he may give offense. To make a social call in China in a sedan chair with short handles creates something of the sensation that would be created in America if one were to make a social call in a hearse, because a short-handled sedan chair is employed at funerals to carry the spirit of the deceased. To send presents wrapped up in the wrong way or by the wrong kind of a messenger is to deprive them of their value to the recipient. To hold one's hands behind the

back while talking to an Oriental is to be discourteous. To walk rapidly is to class one's self with coolies. To inquire of a Moslem about the health of his wife is to offer an unpardonable insult. To count the children of a household in Africa is to bring bad luck upon them. To jump quickly out of a gharry in India is, in the estimation of an East Indian lady or gentlemen, as undignified as for a lady in New York to jump over a fence.

The Oriental seldom objects to Christianity as such. He usually has several religions already, and the coming of another does not itself arouse his antipathy. He probably knows that his race has more than once changed its faith, and that, too, without bloodshed or revolution. Indeed, Christianity in essential aspects appeals more readily to him than to an Anglo-Saxon, for Christ was an Oriental and the Bible is an Oriental book, abounding with ideas and expressions that an Oriental naturally understands better than we do. It is Christianity's identification with the foreigner that arouses the Asiatic's suspicion. It comes to him as the religion of the white man who is despoiling him of his territory, overthrowing or menacing his national independence, upsetting all the economic conditions of his life, swaggering about his streets, robbing him of his goods, and insulting his women. Imagining that all white men are Christians, he blindly and furiously hates them all.

This forms at once the most formidable obstacle and the most imperative need of the missionary.

The Government can help by greater care in selecting for consular and diplomatic posts in Asia men whose daily lives conform to those standards of uprightness, which President Roosevelt inculcates and exemplifies in public life at home. Many of our representatives abroad are of this type, but there are exceptions. It is deplorable when, as in some lands to-day, this supposedly most Christian nation is officially represented among non-Christian peoples by men whom common fame charges with conduct that is beneath the level of respectable heathenism. And if the drunken and licentious agent is not employed in America by a reputable business house, why should he be employed as its agents in the Far East? Is it not reasonable to ask that governmental and commercial positions in Asia shall be given only to men whose lives are not inconsistent with those principles of righteousness upon which we pride ourselves at home?

But the main work of conciliation must be done by the churches in sending to Asia in increasing numbers missionaries who represent the spirit of Christ and the best types of our Western character and culture. This is precisely what the churches are doing, and more and more evident does it become that in this missionary effort is the only true solution of the Far Eastern question.